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SUMMARY

Q fever is a worldwide re-emerging zoonosis caused by an intracellular Gram negative bacillus, *Coxiella burnetii*. Domestic ruminants are the main source of infection to human population. Despite the infection is mainly asymptomatic in cattle, it has been linked with several reproductive disorders. Thus, the aim of this thesis was to provide clinical information about *C. burnetii* infection in order to improve its control in dairy herds. To achieve these objectives, four studies, published in peer-reviewed journals, were performed.

In the first study, vertical transmission of *C. burnetii* and links between shedding and seropositivity were examined. The results of this study indicated no detectable precolostral antibody response in calves born from dams with *C. burnetii*-qPCR-positive cotyledons.

In the second study, the effects of *C. burnetii* shedding and seropositivity on postpartum recovery and subsequent fertility in high-producing dairy cows were assessed. *C. burnetii*-shedding seropositive animals showed both, an earlier return to luteal activity and improved conception rate. Moreover, seropositive cows exhibited a lower risk of suffering endometritis on Days 15-21 postpartum than seronegative ones.

The third study sought to assess the effects of an inactivated phase I vaccine against *C. burnetii* at the beginning of the third trimester of gestation on serological profiles and bacterial shedding patterns in dairy cows. A subset of 70 of the 156 analysed cows underwent more intensive monitoring. Results indicated that the inactivated *C. burnetii* phase I vaccine failed to reduce bacterial shedding during the study period.

In the last study, the effect of an inactivated phase I vaccination against *C. burnetii* on 171-177 days of pregnancy on the subsequent reproductive performance of high producing dairy cows was assessed. The results of this study proved that phase I vaccination against *C. burnetii* on advanced pregnant dairy cows improves subsequent fertility, especially in seronegative cows.
RESUM

La Febre Q és una zoonosi re-emergent i endèmica a nivell mundial. Està causada per *Coxiella burnetii*, un bacil Gram negatiu intracel.lular obligat. El reservori principal per a la població humana el constitueixen els remugants domèstics. Tot i que la infecció és principalment asimtomàtica en boví, se l’ha relacionat amb desordres reproductius. L’objectiu de la present tesi doctoral ha estat proporcionar informació sobre la infecció per *C. burnetii* des d’un punt de vista clínic, a fi de millorar el seu control en les explotacions de boví de llet. Per tal d’assolir aquests objectius s’han realitzat quatre estudis que han estat publicats en revistes especialitzades.

En el primer estudi s’ha evaluat la transmissió vertical de *C. burnetii* i la relació entre excreció i seropositivitat. Els resultats d’aquest estudi indiquen que no s’han detectat anticossos precalostrals en els vedells nascuts de vaques amb cotiledons q-PCR positius a *C. burnetii*.

En el segon estudi s’han analitzat els efectes de l’excreció i la seropositivitat de *C. burnetii* sobre la involució postpart i la fertilitat en la següent lactació en vaques lleteres d’alta producció. Els animals excretors i seropositius han presentat un retorn a l’activitat luteal més prompte i una millor taxa de concepció que la resta de vaques. A més, el risc de presentar endometritis en els dies 15-21 postpart ha estat més baix en les vaques seropositives que en les seronegatives.

En el tercer estudi s’han evaluat els efectes d’una vacuna inactivada contra *C. burnetii* en fase I administrada a l’inici del tercer terç de la gestació sobre els perfils serològics i els patrons d’excreció bacteriana en vaques lleteres. Un subgrup de 70 de les 156 vaques estudiades han estat sotmeses a una monitorització més intensiva. Els resultats indiquen que la vacuna inactivada contra *C. burnetii* en fase I no ha estat capaç de reduir els nivells d’excreció bacteriana durant el període d’estudi.

En el quart estudi s’ha analitzat l’efecte d’una vacuna inactivada contra *C. burnetii* en fase I administrada en els dies 171-177 de gestació sobre el rendiment reproductiu de la lactació següent en vaques lleteres d’alta producció. Els resultats d’aquest estudi mostren que la vacunació contra *C. burnetii* en fase I de vaques en un estat de gestació avançat millora el rendiment reproductiu de la lactació següent, especialment en les vaques seronegatives.
La Fiebre Q es una zoonosis re-emergente y endémica mundialmente. Está provocada por un bacilo Gram negativo e intracelular obligado, Coxiella burnetii. El reservorio principal para la especie humana lo constituyen los rumiantes domésticos. Aunque en general la infección es asintomática en bovino, se la ha relacionado con desórdenes reproductivos. El objetivo de la presente tesis doctoral ha sido proporcionar información sobre la infección por C. burnetii bajo un punto de vista clínico con el fin de mejorar el control de la infección en las explotaciones de vacas lecheras. Para cumplir estos objetivos se han realizado cuatro estudios que han sido publicados en revistas especializadas.

En el primer estudio se ha evaluado la transmisión vertical de C. burnetii y la relación entre excreción y seropositividad. Los resultados indican que no se han detectado anticuerpos precalostrales en los terneros nacidos de vacas con los cotiledones q-PCR positivos a C. burnetii.

En el segundo estudio se han analizado los efectos de la excreción y la seropositividad de C. burnetii sobre la involución postparto y la fertilidad en la lactación siguiente en vacas lecheras de alta producción. Los animales excretores y seropositivos han mostrado un retorno a la actividad luteal más temprano y una mejor tasa de concepción que el resto de las vacas. Además, las vacas seropositivas han presentado menor riesgo de endometritis en los días 15-21 postparto que las seronegativas.

En el tercer estudio se han evaluado los efectos de una vacuna inactivada contra C. burnetii en fase I administrada en el inicio del tercer tercio de gestación sobre los perfiles serológicos y los patrones de excreción bacteriana en vacas lecheras. Un subgrupo de 70 de las 156 vacas estudiadas fue sometido a una monitorización más intensiva. Los resultados indican que la vacuna inactivada contra C. burnetii en fase I no ha sido capaz de reducir los niveles de excreción bacteriana durante el periodo de estudio.

En el cuarto estudio se ha analizado el efecto de una vacuna inactivada contra C. burnetii en fase I administrada en los días 171-177 de gestación sobre el rendimiento reproductivo en la lactación siguiente en vacas lecheras de alta producción. Los resultados de este estudio muestran que la vacunación contra C. burnetii en fase I de las vacas en un estado avanzado de gestación mejora el rendimiento reproductivo de la lactación siguiente, especialmente en las vacas seronegativas.
INTRODUCTION
INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of zoonoses and emerging diseases is increasing worldwide. Despite efforts to control and eradication, these diseases cause great economic losses for the involved countries and creates health crises in both, animal and human populations. In 1951 regulations in order to prevent the international spread of diseases were created (WHO 2007). Before that, disease situation was relatively stable. Nowadays, the world has dramatically changed. The population growth, international travelling, misuses of antimicrobials and intensification of animal production facilitates the appearance of health crises that can rapidly spread worldwide. The most remarkable processes had been foot and mouth disease, bovine spongiform encephalopathy, and, more recently, highly pathogenic avian influenza and Q fever (Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005; Ducrot et al. 2008; Rodriguez et al. 2009; Capua and Cattoli 2013).

Change in the dynamics of markets, increasing the price of power and raw materials for animal feed have been the most remarkable effects of globalization. Dairy industry is one of the most important agroalimentary industries in Spain: From April 2012 to March 2013 both milk and dairy products consumption was 112.14 kg per capita, higher than consumption of meat (MAGRAMA 2013). However, milk price received by the producer is subjected to a downward trend (EUROSTAT 2013). Moreover, despite the improvement in genetic selection and nutrition, a declining of reproductive performance since 80’s has been detected. This trend has been frequently associated with an increment in milk production, but its origin is multifactorial and not always related to milk yield (Lucy 2001; López-Gatius 2003; López-Gatius et al. 2006). Identifying causes of reproductive impairment becomes necessary to apply corrective measures and improve the productivity of dairy herds.

The multifactorial factors that impaired the reproductive parameters of high producing dairy cows include non-infectious causes, such cow, environment, management, and infectious agents such as Infectious Bovine Rhinotracheitis virus (IBR) (Nandi et al. 2009), Bovine Viral Diarrhoea virus (BVD) (Rüfenacht et al. 2001), Brucella spp. (Seleem et al. 2010), and Neospora caninum (Bartels et al. 2006) among others. All these infectious and parasitic agents can be diagnosed and monitored relatively easy in commercial dairy herds due to the existence of an efficient and viable serological and molecular biology techniques. Moreover, vaccines against these infections are available at clinical level (Moriyón et al. 2004; Livingstone and Longbottom 2006; Alvarez et al. 2007; Mughini-Gras et al. 2013). Despite the progress in molecular biology and biotecnology, there are still infections that remain undiagnosed and consequently, untreated in dairy herds. This is the case of Q fever.

Coxiella burnetii is an obligate intracellular bacillus, the etiological agent of Q fever, a re-emerging zoonosis worldwide (Maurin and Raoult 1999; Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005) described in the thirties by Derrick (1983). In the last thirty years C.
*Coxiella burnetii* has caused outbreaks in human population of different countries of the European Union (Georgiev *et al.* 2013). Although Q fever is often subclinical in humans, it can cause a flu-like syndrome and abortion in pregnant women. Moreover, in immunocompromised patients the process becomes chronic and cause hepatitis, valvular heart diseases and pneumonia (Maurin and Raoult 1999). In small ruminants, Q fever causes epidemic waves of abortion. The most recent case took place between 2007 and 2010 in The Netherlands, with affectation of the human population (Dijkstra *et al.* 2012). Although Q fever symptomatology is well known in humans and small ruminants, pathogenesis of the disease in cattle is not yet fully understood (Porter *et al.* 2011; Agerholm 2013). Thus, clinical signs in cattle, one of the most important reservoirs of the bacteria, are not well characterized and sometimes controversial (To *et al.* 1998; Bildfell *et al.* 2000; Hansen *et al.* 2011; Muskens *et al.* 2011; Garcia-Ispierto *et al.* 2012; López-Gatius *et al.* 2012). Despite the presence of bacterium in fetal membranes and fetal abortions has been demonstrated (Bildfell *et al.* 2000; Muskens *et al.* 2012), vertical transmission has not been described. In addition, the existence of seronegative shedders and seropositive non-shedders demands the combination of serological and molecular biology techniques for an adequate laboratorial diagnosis (Guatteo *et al.* 2007).

In order to control the disease at herd level, *Coxiella burnetii* vaccines have been developed. Phase I vaccines seems to be the most protective, reducing bacterial shedding in ruminants, while phase II vaccines are far less effective (Zhang *et al.* 2007). These results were obtained only for seronegative and non-pregnant females or in early inseminated animals (Guatteo *et al.* 2008). Due to the management of animals in dairy herds, vaccination of cows during the dry-off period could be easy applied due to the management policy. However, vaccination effects against *C. burnetii* in pregnant cows in the third trimester of pregnancy are not yet defined.

The need to understand the dynamics of serological profiles, shedding patterns and its relationship of reproductive performance in commercial high producing dairy herds has motivated the development of this thesis. The inactivated phase I vaccine effects on third trimester pregnant females on serological profiles, shedding patterns and reproductive parameters has also been evaluated.
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MAIN OBJECTIVES
MAIN OBJECTIVES

- To examine serology of *Coxiella burnetii* of newborn calves from both, seropositive and seronegative dams.

- To determine possible links between dam shedding during the peripartum period and seropositivity of the dams.

- To assess the effects of *Coxiella burnetii* shedding or seropositivity on postpartum recovery and subsequent fertility in high producing dairy cows.

- To determine the effect of an inactivated phase I vaccine against *Coxiella burnetii* at the starting of the third trimester of gestation (on Day 171-177) on serological profiles and shedding patterns of high producing dairy cows.

- To assess the effect of phase I vaccination against *Coxiella burnetii* at the starting of the third trimester of gestation (on Day 171-177) on the subsequent reproductive performance of lactating high producing dairy cows.
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NO DETECTABLE PRECOLOSTRAL ANTIBODY RESPONSE IN CALVES BORN FROM COWS WITH COTYLEDONS POSITIVE FOR COXIELLA BURNETII BY QUANTITATIVE PCR

Abstract

Samples from 45 dams (milk/colostrum, faeces, vaginal fluid and blood on days 171-177 of gestation and at parturition, and cotyledons at parturition) and their calves (blood collected before colostrum intake and weekly until days 29-35) were analyzed to examine the vertical transmission of C. burnetii and links between shedding and seropositivity. All calves were born C. burnetii seronegative. Only those born to seropositive dams seroconverted following colostrum intake. Logistic regression analyses indicated that the likelihood of dam seropositivity was 21 and 4.85 times higher for multiparous than for primiparous (65.6% vs. 8.3%, P=0.006), and for prepartum shedding cows (75% vs. 38.2%, P=0.03) compared to the remaining animals, respectively. In conclusion, the results of this study indicate no detectable precolostral antibody response in calves born from dams with cotyledons positive for C. burnetii by qPCR. In order to analyze the possibility of persistent infection due to immunotolerance to an early in utero infection, further studies will need to test for C. burnetii DNA. In addition, in the present study multiparous cows showed a significantly higher seroprevalence than primiparous cows and heifers, colostral antibodies were efficiently transferred to newborn calves, and there was a link between bacterial shedding on days 171-177 of gestation and Coxiella seropositivity of the dam.

Key words: Bovine, reproduction, Q fever, Coxiella burnetii, colostrum, antibody response

1. Introduction

Q fever is a re-emerging zoonosis that is endemic worldwide (Maurin and Raoult 1999). Its etiological agent, Coxiella burnetii, is an obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacterium able to produce endospore-like forms (McCaul and Williams 1981). Symptoms caused by C. burnetii are well known in humans (Maurin and Raoult 1999) and small ruminants (Sánchez et al. 2006), but there is still a lack of knowledge of the clinical course of disease in cattle, one of the main reservoirs for human infection (Maurin and Raoult 1999; Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005). There is some controversy regarding the symptoms and lesions associated with seropositivity to the C. burnetii species (Guatteo et al. 2006; 2007).

Airborne bacteria constitute the main route of transmission in the form of contaminated aerosols generated from the placenta and fluids expelled during parturition and abortion. However, C. burnetii can be shed into the environment through milk, vaginal fluid and faeces and this can occur outside the calving or abortion period (Guatteo et al. 2007).
Thus, Q fever is difficult to diagnose in field conditions, since both seropositive non-shedding and seronegative shedding animals exist (Guatteo et al. 2007; Rodolakis et al. 2007; Hansen et al. 2011).

In general, there is little epidemiological information on the serologically *C. burnetii*-infected dairy cattle. In natural infections in cattle, sheep and goats, a non-immune (often neo-natal) animal is supposed to be infected from the environment or by ticks and undergo a primary subclinical infection (Woldehiwet 2004). In high-producing dairy herds, seroprevalence of infection has been demonstrated to be highly stable throughout gestation (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2011). However, a small rate of seroconversion has also been observed, determining the existence of an active infection (Nogareda et al. 2012). Transplacental infection of the foetus *in utero* by *C. burnetii* is possible, but its consequences are still unknown (Angelakis and Raoult 2010). If infection occurs in early gestation, immunotolerance of the fetus is possible, such as in calves persistently infected with bovine viral diarrhoea virus (McClurkin *et al.* 1984). For all these reasons, the aim of the present study was to examine the serology of *Coxiella burnetii* in newborn calves from seropositive or seronegative dams. Possible links between dam shedding during the peripartum period and seropositivity of the dams were also investigated.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cattle and herd management

The study was performed on two commercial Holstein-Friesian dairy herds in northeastern Spain, each comprising 625 and 125 lactating animals, from October 2010 to October 2011. In Herd 1, cows were milked three times daily and in Herd 2 twice daily. For Herds 1 and 2, respectively, mean annual milk production was 11.343 Kg and 8.846 Kg, and the culling rate was 29% and 23%. The cows calved all year round and were fed complete rations. Rations were in line with the National Research Council recommendations (2001).

All cows were bred by artificial insemination (AI) using semen from bulls of proven fertility. Dry cows were kept as a separate group and transferred, depending on their body condition score and age, 7-25 days before parturition to a ‘parturition group’.

All animals were tuberculosis and brucellosis free, as shown in yearly tests from 1985 to 2011. Based on serology and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis of bulk tank milk samples, the herds were known to be chronically infected with *C. burnetii*. Vaccination programs for the prevention of bovine viral diarrhoea (BVD) and infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) included modified live vaccines for animals 6-8 months old. Pregnant animals were given killed vaccines during the 7th month of each gestation...
Period. Parous cows that were not pregnant on Day 150 postpartum received a further killed vaccine.

Only calves born to healthy cows free of clinical disease during the study period were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were: mastitis, lameness and digestive disorders. Efforts were made to reduce variation in the general health status of the animals so that serological changes could be attributed to factors other than the clinical condition of the cows during the study. The final data analyzed corresponded to 46 calves and their corresponding mothers.

2.2. Experimental design

Dams were serologically tested from day 171 to 177 of gestation. Forty-five dams were then followed into parturition based on their serological patterns (21 seropositive and 24 seronegative). On gestation days 171-177 and at parturition, milk, faeces, vaginal fluid and blood, plus colostrum and cotyledons (only at parturition) were collected. Blood samples from their corresponding calves were collected at birth before colostrum intake and at the ages of 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-28 and 29-35 days. First sampling was performed on days 171-177 due to the management policy of the herd and because at that time period there were no dried-off animals.

2.2.1. Blood

Blood samples were collected from the coccygeal vein in dams and the jugular vein in calves into heparinized vacuum tubes (BD Vacutainer™, Becton-Dickenson and Company, Plymouth, UK). Tubes were centrifuged (10 min, 1600×g) within 30 min after collection and the plasma stored at -20°C until analysis.

2.2.2. Milk

Milk and colostrum samples were collected from Day 171-177 of gestation and on the day of parturition, respectively, in a plastic sterile container for PCR. To minimize the risk of contamination during the collection process, teats were washed in clean water and then each teat end was scrubbed with teat wipes impregnated with an antiseptic solution. Finally, milk and colostrum were collected from the four teats after elimination of the first streams. Samples were frozen at -20°C prior to analysis.

2.2.3. Vaginal fluid

After disinfection of the vulva with iodine solution, a vaginal swab was obtained and stored at -20°C.
2.2.4. Faeces

Faecal samples were collected using a glove for rectal examination into sterile containers.

2.2.5. Placenta

Placenta specimens were obtained immediately after parturition. After washing the perineum with iodine solution, three cotyledons were excised using rectal palpation gloves. All specimens were stored after their collection at -20ºC until PCR analysis.

2.3. Laboratory analyses

Plasma samples were screened for antibodies against *C. burnetii* by ELISA. In the remaining samples, the possible presence of the bacterium was detected by PCR.

2.3.1. *Coxiella burnetii* antibodies

Antibodies to *C. burnetii* were detected in plasma samples by indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using the CoxLS kit (LSIVET RUMINANT Milk/Serum Q FEVER from Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, France). This validated test (García-Pérez *et al.* 2009) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sensitivity and specificity values for the ELISA test are 85% and 95%, respectively (Courcoul *et al.* 2010). A cocktail of both antigen phases (I and II) was used in this assay to detect total anti-*C. burnetii* immunoglobulin G antibodies (IgG) (Guatteo *et al.* 2008).

2.3.2. Polymerase chain reactions on individual samples

*Coxiella burnetii* was detected in the milk, faeces and vaginal fluid samples obtained between 171 and 177 days of gestation and at parturition, and in the colostrum and cotyledons obtained only at parturition using a commercial kit targeting the repetitive transposon-like region of *C. burnetii* (LSI Taqvet *Coxiella burnetii*®; Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The positive control used was a solution containing $10^5$ *C. burnetii* mL (provided by UR INRA IASP, 37380 Nouzilly, France). The negative control sample used was DNase Rnase-free water. DNA was extracted from the different samples using the QIAamp DNA minikit® (Qiagen S.A., France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the milk or vaginal mucus samples, DNA was extracted directly from 200 µL of raw milk or 200 µL of the obtained vaginal mucus dilution. For the faecal samples, 1 g of the original sample was weighed and mixed by vortexing for 30 seconds with 4 mL of DNase Rnase-free water and 400 µL then collected. Finally, samples were centrifuged at 6000 xg for 1 min and 200 µL of supernatants were used for DNA extraction. For the
cotyledon samples, DNA was extracted from 25 mg of tissue cut into small pieces and placed in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. Only the samples presenting a typical amplification curve with a cycle threshold below 40 were considered to be positive.

2.4. Data collection and analyses

The following data were recorded for each animal: herd, calf sex, calving date, milk production by the cow on day 50 postpartum of the previous lactation (<40 Kg versus ≥40 Kg), parity (heifers and primiparous versus multiparous cows), C. burnetii seropositivity and antibody titres for the dam and calf, and C. burnetii shedding by the cow from day 171 to day 177 of gestation and at parturition. When one or more PCR-positive samples (milk, faeces, vaginal fluid, colostrum or placenta) were recorded between gestation days 171 and 177 or at parturition, the animal was recorded as shedding-positive for the corresponding sampling day.

All statistical procedures were performed using the SPSS package version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with the level of significance set at P<0.05. Fisher exact tests were used to compare differences in the percentages of calf C. burnetii seropositivity between C. burnetii seropositive and seronegative cows. Two binary logistic regression analyses were performed, considering dam C. burnetii seropositivity as the dependent variable. The first analysis assessed the effects of herd, C. burnetii shedding from day 171 to day 177 of gestation and at parturition, and parity, on dam C. burnetii seropositivity. Since parity was the most important factor affecting C. burnetii seropositivity, in the second logistic regression this factor was excluded. Regression analyses were conducted according to the method of Hosmer and Lemeshow (1989).

3. Results

Of the 45 dams and their calves included in the study, 21 dams were C. burnetii seropositive and 24 were seronegative. One of the seropositive cows delivered twins. Table 1 shows the frequency distributions of the dams based on serology and shedding patterns.

Table 1. Classification of the calves based on the serological profiles and shedding patterns of their mothers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cow serology</th>
<th>Cow shedding pattern</th>
<th>Number of calves</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seropositive (n = 21)</td>
<td>Shedding gestation days 171-177</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shedding parturition</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shedding gestation days 171-177 and parturition</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-shedding</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seronegative (n = 24)</td>
<td>Shedding gestation days 171-177</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shedding parturition</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shedding gestation days 171-177 and parturition</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-shedding</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All calves were seronegative to *C. burnetii* at birth, and only those born from seropositive dams underwent seroconversion following colostrum intake (Fisher test, *P*< 0.001) and remained seropositive until the end of the study. On the contrary, seroconversion was not observed during the study period in seronegative dams or calves born to seronegative mothers.

In total, 286 samples were submitted for PCR. Among these, positive results for the identification of *C. burnetii* were recorded in 32 samples: 13 of 122 (10.6%) and 19 of 164 (11.6%) collected between Day 171 and 177 of gestation, and at parturition, respectively (Table 2). Milk was the sample returning most positive results from gestation days 171-177 (12 positive samples, 92.31%), and vaginal fluid was the sample returning most positive results at parturition (9 positive samples, 47.4%). At parturition, 4 faecal samples and 6 cotyledon samples were positive for *C. burnetii* DNA.

### Table 2. Samples scoring positive (+) or negative (−) for the PCR detection of *Coxiella burnetii*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of collection</th>
<th>Vaginal fluid</th>
<th>Faeces</th>
<th>Milk</th>
<th>Cotyledons</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gestation days 171–177</td>
<td>+ 1</td>
<td>− 42</td>
<td>+ 43</td>
<td>− 12</td>
<td>− 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parturition</td>
<td>+ 9</td>
<td>− 35</td>
<td>+ 4</td>
<td>− 39</td>
<td>− 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first binary logistic regression revealed no significant effects of herd, milk production and *C. burnetii* shedding from gestation days 171-177 and at parturition on dam *C. burnetii* seropositivity (table 3). Based on the odds ratio, the likelihood of dam seropositivity was 21 times higher (*P*=0.006) for multiparous than for the remaining cows (65.6% vs 8.3%, respectively).

### Table 3. Odds ratios of the variables included in the first logistic regression model for factors affecting *Coxiella burnetii* seropositivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CIa</th>
<th><em>P</em> - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>Non-multiparousa</td>
<td>1/12 (8.33%)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>21/32 (65.63%)</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>2.3-84.5</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a heifers plus primiparous cows; a Confidence interval; R² Nagelkerke = 0.339. *P* value for the model *P*< 0.01

After eliminating the age effect, the second binary logistic regression revealed no significant effects of herd, milk production and *C. burnetii* shedding at parturition on dam *C. burnetii* seropositivity (table 4). Based on the odds ratios, the likelihood of dam seropositivity was 4.8 times higher (*P*=0.036) for cows shedding the pathogen from days 171-177 of gestation compared to non-shedding cows (75% vs 38.2%, respectively).
4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the absence of precolostral antibody response in calves of *C. burnetii*-seropositive dams. All 46 calves analyzed delivered by both *C. burnetii*-seronegative and seropositive dams, were born seronegative, although *C. burnetii* DNA was detected in the placental cotyledons of 6 seropositive dams. In addition, seroconversion was only observed in calves born to seropositive dams after colostrum intake, and these calves remained seropositive during the entire study period. Multiparous cows and cows shedding the bacterium between day 171 and day 177 of gestation were more likely to show antibodies against *C. burnetii* than the remaining cows.

It is well known that the epitheliochorial placenta of ruminants acts as a barrier to the passage of antibodies against any pathogen from the dam to its fetus. Thus, a newborn calf testing seronegative before colostrum intake would indicate no contact with the pathogen at the intrauterine level or immunotolerance to the given pathogen of the infected calf, as has been observed in the case of calves persistently infected with bovine viral diarrhoea virus (McClurkin et al. 1984). Conversely, antibodies found in newborn animals before colostrum intake are indicative of fetal infection. In the present study, seroconversion was only observed after colostrum intake in calves delivered by seropositive dams. The results of earlier work in mice (Baumgartner and Bachmann 1992) had already suggested that fetoplacental union prevents the vertical transmission of *C. burnetii*. These authors also proposed that the infection of newborns was determined by aerosol inhalation at the moment of parturition. However, in the present study, seronegative calves remained seronegative for at least one month after parturition. Further studies are needed to establish the exact time of infection in cattle.

On the other hand, the infection of fetuses in the absence of antibodies could be indication of persistent infection due to immunotolerance to an early *in utero* infection, as suggested in other infections such as bovine viral diarrhoea virus (Houe 1995). However, in the present study, DNA was not analyzed in fetuses and calves. Further studies will need to test for *C. burnetii* DNA as well as antibodies for the detection of exposed and/or infected animals.

Colostrum could be a possible source of infection for newborn calves, but all of the colostrum samples analyzed, including those from seropositive dams, were PCR negative for *C. burnetii*. The ingestion of raw milk from infected farms has been

---

**Table 4. Odds ratios of the variables included in the second logistic regression model for factors affecting *Coxiella burnetii* seropositivity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Odds ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. burnetii</em> shedding</td>
<td>Non-shedding</td>
<td>13/34 (38.24%)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in prepartum period</td>
<td>Shedding</td>
<td>9/12 (75%)</td>
<td>4.846</td>
<td>1.105-21.255</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Confidence interval; R² Nagelkerke= 0.136. P value for the model 0.026
described to cause seroconversion in humans (Benson et al. 1963). Seroconversion of the present calves was attributed only to dam immunoglobulins transferred to the calves via the colostrum, as an efficient vehicle of passive immunity from mother to calf. Since the bacterium was observed in 12 raw milk samples obtained between day 171 and day 177 of gestation, it was surprising that most of the colostrum samples examined lacked the pathogen. It has been suggested that some samples (such as faeces) contain large numbers of Taq polymerase inhibitors and that detecting the pathogen in this type of sample may be more complicated than in other samples (Guatteo et al. 2006). However, in the present study, the internal control used indicated no interference in the PCR, and four faecal samples were PCR positive. In effect, the presence of this organism in the colostrum has been previously detected in cattle (Huijsmans et al. 2011).

The detection of C. burnetti in 6 of the 36 cotyledon samples examined is consistent with the findings of several studies (Hansen et al. 2011) in which C. burnetii was able to colonize the placenta and multiply inside the trophoblasts. In a recent study (Ben-Amara et al. 2010), it was concluded that the trophoblast allows C. burnetii replication, without interfering with the normal course of pregnancy and that this is rarely accompanied by inflammation in cattle (Hansen et al. 2011). However, it seems that the bacterium could cause some placental damage (López-Gatius et al. 2011). Reduced Pregnancy-Associated Glycoprotein (PAG) levels in the second half of gestation and increased cortisol levels around day 180 of pregnancy (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2010) have been observed in C. burnetii-seropositive cows, and this could reinforce the idea of placental damage.

Multiparous cows showed a significantly higher seroprevalence than primiparous cows and heifers, probably due to the increased possibility of contact with C. burnetii with age. McCaughey et al. (2010) have also recently reported increasing odds with age of cattle being infected with Q fever. This constitutes further proof that horizontal transmission is the main route of C. burnetii infection in cattle. In addition, between day 171 and day 177 of gestation, the prevalence of seropositivity was higher among shedding cows than non-shedders. This is likely to indicate reactivation of the bacterium during this period, when cortisol levels have been described to peak in seropositive cows (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2010). However, shedding during parturition was not significantly related to seropositivity of the dam. The fact that shedding cows were detected indicates active infection in the herds analyzed.

Seropositive animals are more likely to be shedders than their seronegative counterparts, in agreement with others (Arricau-Bouvery et al. 2005; Guatteo et al. 2007). Moreover, none of the 24 seronegative animals detected in our study seroconverted during the study period, albeit short, as observed in previous studies (Guatteo et al. 2007; Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2011). Longer-term studies are needed to determine when seroconversion takes place in chronically C. burnetii-infected dairy herds.
In conclusion, the results of this study indicate no detectable precolostral antibody response in calves born from dams with cotyledons positive for *C. burnetii* by qPCR. In order to analyze the possibility of persistent infection due to immunotolerance to an early *in utero* infection, further studies will need to test for *C. burnetii* DNA. In addition, in the present study multiparous cows showed a significantly higher seroprevalence than primiparous cows and heifers, colostral antibodies were efficiently transferred to newborn calves, and there was a link between bacterial shedding on days 171-177 of gestation and *Coxiella* seropositivity of the dam.
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to assess the effects of Coxiella burnetii shedding or seropositivity on postpartum recovery and subsequent fertility in high-producing dairy cows. Given the difficulty in diagnosing C. burnetii infection at the farm level, an exhaustive series of tests in 43 pregnant animals that delivered at least one live calf were conducted, including blood serology and PCR of milk or colostrum, cotyledons (only at parturition), faeces, vaginal fluid against C. burnetii on gestation Day 171-177, at parturition and on Days 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-28, 29-35 and 91-97 postpartum. During scheduled herd visits, ultrasonography (US) of the genital tract and examination of vaginal fluid were performed on Days 15-21 (V1), 22-28 (V2), 29-35 (V3) and 51-57 (V4) postpartum by the same veterinarian. Logistic regression analysis revealed that the likelihood of suffering endometritis (the presence of echogenic intrauterine fluid (IUF), cervical diameter of ≥4cm or endometrial thickness ≥0.75cm) was lower in C. burnetii-seropositive animals (OR= 0.10), compared with C. burnetii-seronegative animals. According to Kaplain-Meier survival analysis, C. burnetii-seronegative and non-shedding cows showed a delayed return to luteal activity and conception was delayed in non-shedding animals, compared with the remaining animals. Overall, the results of our study provide useful insight into the effects of C. burnetii infection on postpartum recovery and subsequent fertility. In particular, animals not infected with Coxiella seem to be susceptible to infection and not protected against the bacterium in dairy herds. The elevated costs of determining infection at the farm level, make monitoring of cows virtually impossible from a clinical point of view.
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1. Introduction

Coxiella burnetii is an intracellular bacterium distributed worldwide that causes Q fever in animals and also in humans. Domestic ruminants, such as cattle, goats and sheep, are the primary reservoir species for exposure to humans (Maurin and Raoul 1999; Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005). In the cow, the pathogenesis of the bacterium is not completely understood and most infections escape diagnosis at the farm level.

Prevalences of C. burnetii in Europe has been described to rage from 38% to 79% in cattle according bulk tank milk (BTM) antibodies (Agger et al. 2010; Muskens et al. 2011; Ryan et al. 2011). Reproductive disorders related to coxellosis are frequently described in cattle, but the data available are inconsistent. In the cow, bacteria have been found in the placenta or aborted foetuses using polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
procedures (Parisi et al. 2006), and the main clinical manifestations are late abortion (Woldehiwet 2004), infertility (To et al. 1995; To et al. 1998), metritis and placenta retention (Bildfell et al. 2000; López-Gatius et al. 2011). The bacterium is shed by ruminants through birth products, milk, faeces and vaginal mucus (Guatteo et al. 2006). Serology is not always indicative of shedding or illness (Guatteo et al. 2007). Hence, blood monitoring is not sufficiently precise and PCR testing of samples representing all possible shedding routes is necessary to understand Coxiella infection. Thus, at the clinical level, detecting infected animals is sometimes difficult if not impossible.

The postpartum period has been recognized as a critical time for the reproductive performance of dairy cattle (LeBlanc 2008). In effect, calving is a considerable risk to high-producing dairy cows and infection of the uterus is a physiological process (Sheldon and Dobson 2004). After calving, the cow has to reach both adequate uterine and cervix involution and return to ovarian cyclicity (Morrow et al. 1969; Shrestha et al. 2004; LeBlanc 2008). Thus, it could be that C. burnetii affects one of these important processes of the reproductive cycle of a cow. The objective of this study was to assess the effects of C. burnetii shedding or seropositivity on postpartum recovery and subsequent fertility in high-producing dairy cows. Given the difficulty in diagnosing C. burnetii infection at the farm level, we conducted an exhaustive series of tests in 43 pregnant-delivering animals.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cattle and herd management

The data examined were generated during a reproductive control program conducted on two well-managed, high-producing, Holstein-Friesian commercials dairy herds in north-eastern Spain, each comprising 625 and 125 lactating animals, from October 2010 to November 2011. Cows were milked three and two times daily, with a mean annual milk production of 11343 and 8846 Kg, respectively. The culling rate was 29% for Herd 1 and 23% for Herd 2. The cows calved all year round and were fed mixed rations. Feeds consisted of cotton-seed hulls, barley, corn, soybean and bran; and primarily corn, barley, or alfalfa silages and alfalfa hay were provided as roughage. Rations were in line with National Research Council recommendations (2001). All animals were tuberculosis and brucellosis free, as shown in yearly tests from 1985 to 2011. All cows were bred by artificial insemination (AI) using semen from bulls of proven fertility and oestrus was confirmed at insemination (López-Gatius 2000) by a previous palpation of the genital tract. Mean (%) calf mortality, conception rate and endometritis at 15-21 days postpartum during the period of study were 9, 33 and 37, respectively.

The farms were kept dog-free. Vaccination programmes for the prevention of bovine viral diarrhoea and infectious bovine rhinotracheitis included modified live vaccines (Cattlemaster; Pfizer, New York, NY, USA) for animals 6-8 months old. Pregnant
animals were given killed vaccines (Triangle 4; Boehringer Ingelheim, Barcelona, Spain) during the 7th month of each gestation period. Parous cows that were not pregnant on Day 150 postpartum received a further killed vaccine. The presence of *C. burnetii* DNA in the BTM was detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in both herds (Garcia-Ispierto *et al.* 2010, 2011; López-Gatius *et al.* 2011). Based on previous ELISA and PCR analyses of BTM samples, herds were known to be chronically infected with *C. burnetii*.

Only animals free of clinical disease during the study period were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were the following: mastitis, lameness and digestive disorders. Those exclusions were made to reduce variation in the general health status of the animals so that serological changes could be attributed to factors other than the clinical condition of the cows during the study. The final data analyzed corresponded to 43 cows.

2.2. Experimental design

Dams were serologically tested on gestation Day 171-177. Forty-three dams were then followed into parturition based on their serological patterns (23 seropositive and 20 seronegative). On gestation Day 171-177, at parturition and on Days 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-28, 29-35 and 91-97 postpartum, milk, faeces, vaginal fluid and blood and Colostrum and cotyledons (only at parturition) were collected.

2.2.1. Blood

Blood samples were collected from the coccygeal vein into heparinized vacuum tubes (BD VacutainerTM, Becton-Dickenson and Company, Plymouth, UK). Tubes were centrifuged (10 min, 1600xg) within 30 min after collection and the plasma stored at -20°C until analysis.

2.2.2. Milk

Milk and Colostrum samples were collected in a plastic sterile container for PCR. To minimize the risk of contamination during the collection process, teats were washed in clean water and then each teat end was scrubbed with teat wipes impregnated with an antiseptic solution. Finally, 10 ml of milk and Colostrum were collected from the four teats in one sterile container after elimination of the first streams. Samples were frozen at -20°C prior to analysis.
2.2.3. Vaginal fluid

After vulva disinfection with iodine solution, a vaginal swab was obtained and stored at -20ºC. Faeces samples were collected using a glove for rectal examination into sterile containers.

2.2.4. Placenta

Placenta specimens were obtained immediately after parturition, before membranes were expelled. After washing the perineum with iodine solution, one hand was introduced in the vagina with a rectal palpation glove and three cotyledons were excised. All specimens were stored after their collection at -20ºC until PCR analysis.

2.3. Clinical examination

During scheduled herd visits, exams were performed on Days 15-21 (V1), 22-28 (V2), 29-35 (V3) and 51-57 (V4) postpartum by the same veterinarian. The clinical examination during the first three visits included ultrasonography (US) of the genital tract and ovaries and examination of vaginal fluid (aspect and odour). At V4 only ultrasonography of ovarian structures was performed.

The entire reproductive tract was examined by ultrasound using a portable B-mode ultrasound scanner (Easi-scan with a 7.5 MHz transducer-BCF Technology Ltd., Livingston, UK). Scanning was performed carefully and slowly along the dorsal/lateral surface of the cervix and each horn and then the ovaries. Cranial cervical size and endometrial thickness were measured using the internal callipers of the ultrasonographers, and IUF was scored as absent, anechogenic or echogenic fluctuant or compact contents (Figure 1). The presence of a corpus luteum (CL) in one or both ovaries was also recorded. Although there are previous studies that describe threshold values for a cow with endometritis (LeBlanc et al. 2002; Kasimanickam et al. 2004), based on prior experimental findings (López-Helguera et al. 2012), cows on V1 were classed as suffering endometritis according to the following criteria: presence of echogenic IUF, cervical diameter of ≥4cm or endometrial thickness ≥0.75cm. It was considered these threshold values as reference.

3. Laboratory analyses

Plasma samples were screened for antibodies against *C. burnetii* by ELISA. In the remaining samples, the presence of the bacterium was detected by PCR.
3.1. *Coxiella burnetii* antibodies

Antibodies to *C. burnetii* were detected in plasma samples by indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbert assay (ELISA) using the CoxLS kit (LSIVET RUMINANT Milk/Serum Q FEVER; Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, France), validated for bovine, sheep and goat. This validated test (García-Pérez *et al.* 2009) was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sensitivity and specificity values for the ELISA test are 100% and 95%, respectively. The antigen for the ELISA CoxLS kit was isolated from domestic ruminants by INRA in Nouzilly (France). A cocktail of both antigen phases (I and II) was used in this assay to detect total anti-*C. burnetii* immunoglobulin G antibodies (IgG) (Guatteo *et al.* 2008). Results are expressed as optical densities (OD). For each sample, the sample-to-positive (S/P) ratio was calculated as follows: sample OD minus negative control OD/positive control OD minus negative control OD and expressed as an antibody titre (titre = S/P x 100).

3.2 Polymerase chain reactions on individual samples

*C. burnetii* was PCR-detected in the milk, colostrum, faeces, vaginal fluid and cotyledons samples using a commercial kit targeting the repetitive transposon-like region of *C. burnetii* (LSI Taqvet *Coxiella burnetii*®; Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The positive control used was a solution containing $10^5$ *C. burnetii*/mL (provided by UR INRA IASP, Nouzilly, France). The negative control sample used was DNase Rnase-free water. DNA was extracted from the different samples using the QiAmp DNA minikit® (Qiagen S.A., Courtaboeuf Cedex, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the milk or vaginal mucus samples, DNA was extracted directly from 200 μL of raw milk or 200 μL of the obtained vaginal mucus dilution. For the faeces samples, 1 g of the original sample was weighed and mixed by vortexing for 30 s with 4 mL of DNase Rnase-free water and 400 μL then collected. Finally, samples were centrifuged at 6000 x g for 1 min and 200 μL of the supernatants used for DNA extraction. For the cotyledon samples, DNA was extracted from 25 mg of tissue cut into small pieces and placed in a 1.5-mL microcentrifuge tube.

4. Data collection and analyses

The following data were recorded for each animal: herd, calving date, milk production on Day 50 postpartum, lactation number, retention of the placenta (retention of the foetal membranes >24 hours), ultrasonography findings such as IUF, measurements of the cervix and endometrium (cm), vaginal content and odour and presence of a CL at visits V1, V2, V3; The animals that had a CL on the clinical examinations were recorded as returned to luteal activity. Fertile AI date, semen providing bull and AI technician, *C. burnetii* seropositivity and *C. burnetii* shedding were also recorded.
When one or more PCR-positive samples (milk, faeces, vaginal fluid, colostrum or placenta) were recorded on gestation Day 171-177, at parturition, or on Days 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-28, 29-35 or 91-97, the cow was scored as shedding-positive in the prepartum, parturition and postpartum, respectively. Table 1 shows the PCR-positive samples recorded at each time point.

Table 1. PCR samples recorded for each period of days on 43 dairy cows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perioda</th>
<th>Vaginal fluid</th>
<th>Faeces</th>
<th>Milk/colostrum</th>
<th>Cotyledons</th>
<th>Total nº. of samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 171-177 of gestation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parturition day</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-7 days postpartum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-14 days postpartum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-21 days postpartum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-28 days postpartum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-35 days postpartum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-97 days postpartum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nº. of samples</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSamples taken on day of the period

All statistics procedures were performed using the SPSS package version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with the level of significance set at P<0.05. Three binary logistic regression analyses were performed, considering retention of placenta, endometritis and repeat breeding syndrome (cows receiving 4 or more AI) as the dependent variable and the above-mentioned variables as independent factors.

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used to compare the mean time to return to luteal activity and pregnant AI for C. burnetii-seropositive/shedding cows in each time period. Cows that were culled before 150 days postpartum were considered as censored cases. Cox proportional-hazards regressions were used to confirm the Kaplan-Meier analyses.

3. Results

The herds returned a positive polymerase chain reaction test for C. burnetii in the BTM indicating an excretion rate higher than 10⁴ Coxiella/ml. The study included 43 pregnant non-aborting cows with a mean lactation number of 3.2 ranging from 1 to 6. At the beginning of the study, 23 were seropositive for C. burnetii (53.4%) and 20 seronegative, and 11 (25.6%), 12 (27.9%), and 17 (39.5%) shed the bacterium in the prepartum, at parturition or in the postpartum (at least on one postpartum sample), respectively. Cows testing negative for C. burnetii did not undergo seroconversion during the period of study, and all seropositive cows remained seropositive for C. burnetii.
After parturition, eight cows had retention of the placenta (23.5%). Three of the 43 animals included in the study were never inseminated due to economic decisions (culling). Nine of the inseminated cows presented the repeat breeding syndrome (22.5%).

### 3.1. Logistic regression analysis

No effects were found for the dependent variables retention of placenta and repeat breeding syndrome for any factor included in the analyses. Based on the odds ratios, the likelihood of suffering endometritis was lower in *C. burnetii*-seropositive animals (OR= 0.10, 10 times higher for seronegative animals), compared with *C. burnetii*-seronegative animals (Table 2).

Table 2. Odds ratios of the variables included in the final logistic regression model for factors affecting endometritis on Day 15-21 postpartum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>% endometritis</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Coxiella burnetii</em></td>
<td>Seronegative</td>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seropositivity</td>
<td>Seropositive</td>
<td>1/23</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01-0.90</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R^2 Nagelkerke: 0.21

### 3.2. Kaplan Meier analyses

Figures 1 and 2 show Kaplan-Meier survival curves until Day 57 postpartum for seropositive and seronegative animals, and for shedding and non-shedding animals during the study period (*C. burnetii* shedding in the prepartum, at parturition or postpartum), respectively. *Coxiella burnetii*-seronegative and non-shedding cows showed a delayed return to luteal activity compared to the remaining animals.
Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for analysis of time to return to luteal activity until Day 57 postpartum for Coxiella burnetii-seronegative (n=20) and C. burnetii-seropositive (n=23) cows (Log Rank p=0.01)
Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the analysis of time to return to luteal activity until Day 57 postpartum for non-shedding (n=18) and shedding (n=25) animals (Log Rank p=0.04)

Figure 3 shows Kaplan-Meier survival curves until Day 150 postpartum for shedding patterns at parturition. Conception was delayed in non-shedding animals compared with the remaining animals.
Figure 3. Kaplan-Meier survival curves for the analysis of time to conception until Day 150 postpartum for non-shedding (n=31) and shedding (n=12) animals (Log Rank p=0.001)

3.3. Cox proportional-hazards regressions

Cox proportional-hazards regression was performed to determine factors affecting the return to luteal activity. Based on the hazard ratio, the likelihood of an early return to luteal activity was higher in *C. burnetii* seropositive compared with seronegative cows (by a factor of 2.55) (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Hazards ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>C. burnetii</em></td>
<td>Seronegative</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivity</td>
<td>Seropositive</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.4-3.4</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P* value for the model 0.001

Finally, a last Cox proportional-hazards regression was performed to determine factors affecting time to conception. Based on the hazard ratio, *C. burnetii* shedders at parturition were 2.3 times more likely to conceive during the established period than non-shedders (Table 4).
Table 4. Final Cox proportional-hazards regression models of factors found to be related to time to fertile insemination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Hazards ratio</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coxiella burnetii shedding at parturition</td>
<td>Non shedding</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>1.01-3.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shedding</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P value for the model 0.001*

4. Discussion

In this study, we examined the relationship between *C. burnetii* seropositivity and shedding and subsequent reproductive performance in high-producing dairy cows with a history of a positive PCR test for the presence of the bacterium in the BTM. An exhaustive analysis of cows was performed to detect infection. Cows were followed each week postpartum and PCR analyzed for each excretion route (three samples were tested before parturition and 21 samples postpartum for each cow).

One of the most surprising results of this study was that *C. burnetii*-seropositive shedding animals showed both an earlier return to luteal activity and conception. Moreover, seropositive cows exhibited a lower risk of suffering endometritis than seronegative ones. No effects on placenta retention or repeat breeding syndrome were detected. Our findings are similar to those obtained in recent studies (Hansen et al. 2011; López-Gatius et al. 2011) yet differ from those reported by others (To et al. 1998; Vaidya et al. 2010). Such discrepancies suggest we are still far from understanding the precise mechanisms of this and other infections. For example, toxoplasmosis was discovered in 1908 (Nicolle and Manceaux 1908), but it was not until recently, a century later, that scientists discovered that latent toxoplasmosis in women is beneficial, because it protects pregnant women against the acute form of the infection and their children from the consequences of congenital toxoplasmosis (Bojar and Szymańska 2010). Thus, our results could suggest that in *C. burnetii*-infected cows, something similar could be happening. Probably, infected animals are protected against the detrimental effects of a new infection or even from recrudescence of the bacterium during fertile days. However, testing such theories is difficult because numerous PCR procedures are required to identify *C. burnetii*-infected animals. According to Guatteo et al. (2007), cows can sporadically or persistently shed the bacterium and shedding routes are rarely concomitant. Moreover, a seronegative result does not provide assurance that the animal is not infected (EFSA 2010). Of course, this is not sustainable from a clinical perspective. Hence, if a cow is suffering a new infection, shedding may not be the correct tool to predict this. Perhaps an IgM or IgGII ELISA test would be needed to confirm this situation. Unfortunately, no commercial kits are available for this bacterium.

Another possible explanation is the elevated culling rate of high-producing dairy herds (López-Gatius et al. 2006). Although in this study there were no possibility to
determine the infection in culled cows, it is possible that only infected fertile cows survive in the farm and others were rapidly eliminated. Thus, studies that follow lifespan of animals are needed.

In the present work, the samples that more often proved PCR-positive for *C. burnetii* were vaginal fluid and milk. According to Guatteo *et al.* (2007), this could be because faeces samples are heterogeneous and contain a large number of Taq polymerase inhibitors (Guttateo *et al.* 2006). The time when most positive samples were obtained was the day of parturition, followed by the pregnancy period (171-177 days). Interestingly, Day 90 postpartum was the time point returning the fewest positive samples. In human, Q fever has been linked to immunocompromised hosts (Raoult 1990). Similarly, cows in the last third of gestation and on the day of parturition could immunosuppressed due to effects of progesterone and cortisol (Lewis 2004) and this allows for recrudescence of the bacterium. In contrast, at 90 days postpartum, the cow has recovered from delivery and the immune system is again competitive.

Overall, the results of our study provide useful insight into the effects of *C. burnetii* infection on postpartum recovery and subsequent fertility. In particular, animals not infected with *Coxiella* seem to be susceptible to infection and not protected against the bacterium in dairy herds. The elevated costs of determining infection at the farm level, make monitoring of cows virtually impossible from a clinical standpoint.
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SEROLOGICAL AND SHEDDING PATTERNS AFTER COXIELLA BURNETII VACCINATION IN THE THIRD GESTATION TRIMESTER IN DAIRY COWS

Abstract

This study sought to assess the effects of an inactivated phase I vaccine against Coxiella burnetii at the start of the third trimester of gestation on serological profiles, bacterial shedding patterns and subsequent reproductive performance in dairy cows. Cows were randomly assigned to a control (n= 78) or vaccine (n= 78) group on Day 171-177 of gestation. Samples of placenta and colostrums at parturition, vaginal fluid, faeces, milk (PCR identification) and blood (anti-C. burnetii antibody detection) were obtained on the day of treatment and on Day 91-97 postpartum, and also on parturition day and weekly on Days 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-28 and 29-35 postpartum in a subset of 70 animals. By Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, no significant effect of vaccination was detected on any of the reproductive variables studied. According to the odds ratio, C. burnetii shedding on Day 171-177 of gestation was highly correlated with seropositivity against C. burnetii (OR= 9.1), while vaccination was not linked to reduced shedding of the bacterium. In shedders compared to others, the likelihood of pregnancy to first AI decreased and increased by factors of 0.26 and 16.1 on 1-35 and on 91-97 days postpartum, respectively. In conclusion, administered at the start of the third trimester of pregnancy, the inactivated Coxiella burnetii phase I vaccine failed to reduce bacterial shedding.

Key words: Coxiella burnetii, vaccination, shedding, infection, reproduction, pregnancy loss, bovine, infertility

1. Introduction

Q fever is a re-emerging zoonotic disease caused by Coxiella burnetii, an obligate intracellular, Gram-negative bacterium. Coxiellosis in humans has been widely investigated and is known to produce mainly a flu-like syndrome and miscarriage. Domestic ruminants are the main reservoir and source of infection for humans (Arricau-Bouvery et al. 2005). Although the effects of C. burnetii on small ruminants have been clearly established, reports of its impacts in cattle have been contradictory. Thus, some research efforts in dairy cattle have related the presence of specific antibodies against C. burnetii to reproductive disorders, such as placenta retention or reduced fertility (López-Gatius et al. 2012), while others have observed no such relationship (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2011). In addition, it has been reported that shedding cows are not always seropositive against C. burnetii and that not all seropositive animals shed the bacterium (Hansen et al. 2011). To further complicate matters, there are three possible shedding routes: via the milk, vaginal mucous or faeces (Guatteo et al. 2006); making it very difficult to detect this infection at the clinical level. Finally, vertical transmission has not been demonstrated by serology (Tutusaus et al. 2013).
Several vaccines against *C. burnetii* have been recently developed. So far, the phase I inactivated vaccine seems the most protective, inducing seroconversion and reducing bacterial shedding and abortion rates in seronegative and/or PCR-negative goats (de Cremoux et al. 2012). However, in dairy cattle, a Th2 immune response and reduced shedding has been observed only in non-pregnant animals that are seronegative and/or PCR-negative (Guatteo et al. 2008). Further, to the best of our knowledge, phase I vaccination has been only tested early post-insemination or in the very early stages of embryonic development (Taurel et al. 2012). Given that, because of farm management policy, vaccination post-artificial insemination (AI) is sometimes difficult, this study sought to determine the effect of an inactivated phase I vaccine against *C. burnetii* given at the starting of the third trimester of gestation (on Day 171-177) on serological profiles, shedding patterns and the reproductive state of high producing pregnant dairy cows. To this end, cows were monitored for antigen shedding and antibody production during the prepartum, parturition and postpartum (on a weekly basis), and also on Day 91-97 postpartum.

2. Materials and methods

The study was performed on two commercial Holstein-Friesian dairy herds on farms in northeastern Spain, each comprising 625 and 125 lactating animals from October 2010 to October 2011. Cows were milked three times daily with a mean annual milk production of 11.343 kg and 9.846 kg for Herd 1 and 2, respectively. The cows calved all year round and were fed complete rations, in line with National Research Council recommendations (2001). During the study period, the mean calf mortality rate was 9% and mean conception rate was 33% for the two herds.

Vaccination programmes for the prevention of bovine viral diarrhoea (BVD) and infectious bovine rhinotracheitis (IBR) involved the use of modified live vaccines (Cattlemaster®, Pfizer, New York, USA) for animals 6-8 months old. Pregnant animals were given killed vaccines (Triangle 4®, Boehringer Ingelheim, Barcelona, Spain) during the eighth month of each gestation period. Parous cows that were not pregnant on Day 150 postpartum received a further killed vaccine. The presence of *C. burnetii* DNA in the bulk tank milk (BTM) was detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in both herds with an excretion level higher than $10^3$ *Coxiella/ml* (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2010, 2011; López-Gatius et al. 2012). According to the results of previous ELISA and PCR tests on BTM samples, both herds were known to be chronically infected with *Coxiella burnetii*.

Only animals free of clinical disease during the study period were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were: mastitis, lameness and digestive disorders. These animals were excluded to minimise variations in the general health state of the animals so that serological changes could be attributed to factors other than the clinical condition of the cows during the study. The final data analysed were those corresponding to 156 cows.
2.1. Insemination and pregnancy diagnosis

Oestrus was detected using a pedometer system (AfiFarm System; SAE Afikim, Israel). Walking activity values were recorded at the milking parlour (three times daily) and analysed automatically using the herd management computer programme (López-Gatius et al. 2005). Cows that exhibited oestrus within a 12-day interval were also excluded and registered as cows with possible reproductive disorders. These animals were returned to a weekly gynaecological exam programme. Cows were finally inseminated after oestrus had been confirmed by examination of the genital tract and vaginal fluid. Pregnancy diagnosis was performed by ultrasound 28-34 days post-insemination and confirmed on Day 90-96 post-insemination. Foetal loss was recorded when the Day 90-96 diagnosis proved negative. Cows diagnosed as not pregnant and cows with no oestrous signs before Day 71-77 in milk were included in a weekly reproductive programme and inseminated either following specific treatment (López-Gatius et al. 2008) or during natural oestrus. Data from cows suffering any clinical disease before Day 120 in milk (open cows) or before Day 90 of gestation (pregnant cows) were withdrawn from the study. All gynaecological exams and pregnancy diagnoses were performed by the same veterinarian.

2.2. Experimental design

At the beginning of the study, individual serological tests were performed in heifers (older than 12 months) and parous cows to determine the anti-\textit{C. burnetii} antibody status of the herds. After these tests, 156 cows were randomly assigned to a control non-vaccine (n= 78) or vaccine (n= 78) group. Cows in the vaccine group received 2 subcutaneous injections 3 weeks apart of inactivated phase I vaccine (Coxevac®, CEVA Santé Animale, Libourne, France) on Day 171-177 and Day 192-198 of gestation. Each 4-mL vaccine dose contained purified corpuscular phase I \textit{C. burnetii} antigens (100µg/mL) inactivated by formaldehyde. Cows were sampled (blood, milk, vaginal fluid and faeces) twice during the study period: on Day 171-177 of pregnancy and on Day 91-97 postpartum. The final study population comprised 117 parous animals and 39 heifers.

A subset of 70 of the 156 cows underwent more intensive monitoring. These cows were sampled 8 times during the study period: on Day 171-177 of gestation, on the day of parturition and on Days 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-28, 29-35 and 91-97 postpartum. Besides blood, milk, vaginal fluid and faeces, on the day of parturition placenta and colostrum samples were also collected.
2.3. Sampling procedures

2.3.1. Blood

Blood samples were collected from the coccygeal vein into heparinized vacuum tubes (BD VacutainerTM®, Becton-Dickenson and Company, Plymouth, UK). Tubes were centrifuged (10 min, 1600 x g) within 30 min of collection and the plasma stored at -20ºC until analysis.

2.3.2. Milk and colostrums

These samples were collected in a plastic sterile container for PCR. To minimize the risk of contamination during the collection process, teats were washed in clean water and then each teat end was scrubbed using an antiseptic wipe. Finally, milk and colostrum were collected from the four teats after elimination of the initial stream. Samples were frozen at -20ºC prior to analysis.

2.3.3. Vaginal fluid

To obtain a vaginal fluid sample, the vulva was disinfected with iodine solution, and a vaginal swab obtained and stored at -20ºC.

2.3.4. Faeces

Faeces samples were collected into sterile containers using a rectal examination glove and stored at -20ºC.

2.3.4.5. Placenta.

Specimens of the placenta were obtained immediately after parturition. After washing the perineum with iodine solution, three cotyledons were excised using rectal palpation gloves. After their collection, the specimens were stored at -20ºC until PCR analysis.

2.4. Clinical examination

During four scheduled herd visits (V1-V4), exams were performed on Days 15-21 (V1), 22-28 (V2), 29-35 (V3) and 51-57 (V4) postpartum by the same veterinarian. The clinical examination included ultrasonography (US) of the genital tract and ovaries and examination of vaginal fluid (appearance and odour). The entire reproductive tract was examined by ultrasound using a portable B-mode ultrasound scanner (Easi-scan® with a 7.5 MHz transducer). In visit 1, cows were classed as suffering endometritis according to the criteria described by López-Helguera et al. (2012): the presence of echogenic
intrauterine fluid (IUF), a cervical diameter of ≥ 4 cm or an endometrial thickness ≥ 0.75 cm.

2.5. Laboratory tests

2.5.1. Antibodies to *Coxiella burnetii*

Antibodies to *C. burnetii* were detected in plasma samples by indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using the CoxLS kit (LSIVET RUMINANT Milk/Serum Q FEVER®, Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, France). This validated test (García-Pérez *et al.* 2009) was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sensitivity and specificity of ELISA are 85% and 95%, respectively (Courcoul *et al.* 2010). The antigen for the ELISA CoxLS kit was isolated from domestic ruminants by INRA in Nouzilly (France). A cocktail of both antigen phases (I and II) was used in this assay to detect total anti-*C. burnetii* immunoglobulin G antibodies (IgG) (Guatteo *et al.* 2008). Results are expressed as optical densities (OD). For each sample, the sample-to-positive (S/P) ratio was calculated as follows: sample OD minus negative control OD/positive control OD minus negative control OD, and expressed as an antibody titre (titre = S/P x 100).

2.5.2. Polymerase chain reaction

*C. burnetii* was PCR-detected in the samples using a commercial kit targeting the repetitive transposon-like region of *C. burnetii* (LSI Taqvet *Coxiella burnetii*®; Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The positive control used was a solution containing $10^5$ *C. burnetii* mL (UR INRA IASP, Nouzilly, France). The negative control sample used was DNase Rnase-free water. DNA was extracted from the different samples using the QIAmp DNA minikit® (Qiagen S.A., Courtaboeuf Cedex, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.6. Data collection and statistical analysis

The following data were recorded for each animal: herd, treatment group (vaccination vs. control), parity (primiparous vs. multiparous), stillbirth, reproductive disorders following calving (retained placenta and primary metritis), date and season of parturition (cool season: October-April vs. warm season: May-September), endometritis (0: absence, 1: presence), twinning, milk production at 50 days postpartum (low milk production: less than 40 kg/day; high milk production: 40 or more kg/day), and reproductive variables for the subsequent lactation such as cow cyclicity (presence or absence of a corpus luteum within the first 35 days postpartum), fertility at first AI, pregnancy rate on Day 150 postpartum, repeat breeding syndrome (four or more AIs), date of the AI resulting in pregnancy, pregnancy loss (pregnancy loss before 90 days
postpartum), anti-*C. burnetii* antibody status on Day 171-177 of pregnancy and *C. burnetii* shedding via any of the four possible routes (milk, faeces, vaginal fluid, and the placenta at parturition) on Day 171-177 of pregnancy, on the day of parturition, and on Days 1-7, 8-14, 15-21, 22-28, 29-35 and 91-97 postpartum.

All statistical procedures were performed using the SPSS software package version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Significance was set at P<0.05.

The variables mean time of return to luteal activity and AI resulting in pregnancy for the treatment group were compared with the remaining variables by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis. Cows not returning to cyclicity before Day 35 postpartum or culled before 150 days postpartum were censored in each analysis.

Logistic regression was performed on data from each cow using *C. burnetii* shedding (in any type of sample at parturition, on Day 1-35 postpartum and on Day 91-97 postpartum), *C. burnetii* seropositivity, pregnancy loss, repeat breeding syndrome, fertility at first AI and retained placenta as the dependent variable and the above mentioned remaining variables as independent factors. Plausible interactions between factors were also included in the analyses.

3. Results

The study sample comprised 156 pregnant cows, 78 vaccinated, with a mean lactation number of 2.8, ranging from 1 to 6. At the study outset, 66 cows were seropositive for *C. burnetii* (42.3%) and 90 seronegative. Bacterial shedding was observed in 38 (24.4%) and 12 (7.7%) of the dams in the prepartum or postpartum (in at least one postpartum sample), respectively. All cows included in the study delivered at term. After parturition, 26 cows suffered placenta retention (17%). Eight of the 156 animals included in the study were not inseminated due to culling for economic reasons. Forty four of the inseminated cows showed repeat breeding syndrome (29.7%).

Serological profiles and shedding patterns for all cows on Day 171-177 of gestation and Day 91-97 postpartum are provided in Table 1. Control cows seronegative for *C. burnetii* did not undergo seroconversion during the study period, whereas all control seropositive cows remained seropositive. Twenty six (61.9%) of the vaccinated animals seroconverted after vaccination. The routes of shedding during the study period for all cows are shown in Table 2. Figures 1 and 2 show the changes produced in serological profiles and shedding patterns throughout the study period, respectively, in the subgroup of 70 cows.
Table 1. *Coxiella burnetti* serological profiles and shedding patterns before and after treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Sampling Time</th>
<th>Seropositive</th>
<th>Seronegative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCR+ n (%)</td>
<td>PCR- n (%)</td>
<td>PCR+ n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinated</td>
<td>171-177&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13 (16.7)</td>
<td>34 (43.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=78)</td>
<td>91-97 pp&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>15 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>171-177&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11 (14)</td>
<td>42 (53.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=78)</td>
<td>91-97 pp&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5 (6.4)</td>
<td>43 (55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Day 171-177 of gestation  
<sup>b</sup>Day 91-97 postpartum

Table 2. Samples returning a positive PCR result for *Coxiella burnetti* according to the time of collection and shedding route. Percentages refer to the total number of a given sample type for a given period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Day 171-177 of pregnancy n (%)</th>
<th>Day 91-97 postpartum n (%)</th>
<th>Both periods n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal fluid</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>16 (10.3)</td>
<td>5 (3.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Antibodies to *Coxiella burnetti* recorded throughout the study in vaccinated and control seropositive cows
No significant differences were detected by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis in the mean time to pregnancy diagnosis/conception until Day 150 postpartum and to return to luteal activity until Day 35 postpartum for any of the variables examined.

According to the odds ratio, the likelihood of *C. burnetii* shedding after 171-177 days of pregnancy was 9.1 times higher in *C. burnetii* seropositive cows than seronegative cows (P=0.004), while vaccination had no effect on shedding. In shedding cows compared to non-shedding cows, the likelihood of pregnancy to first AI was reduced by a factor of 0.26 (P=0.03) and increased by a factor of 16.1 (P=0.02) on Day 1-35 and Day 91-97 postpartum, respectively.

4. Discussion

As far as we are aware, the pertinent literature lacks reports of *C. burnetii* vaccination trials conducted during advanced pregnancy in high producing dairy cows. Despite several descriptions of reduced shedding loads produced in response to vaccination against *C. burnetii* (de Cremoux *et al.* 2012), the present findings suggest there is no link between vaccination and shedding load in pregnant cows. These results are consistent with those of other studies performed in animals vaccinated after AI (Guatteo *et al.* 2008). Probably, the inefficiency of the vaccine in pregnant animals is due to hormonal changes produced in pregnancy. During gestation, there is a shift towards the Th2 immune response at the expense of Th1, the cellular immune response (Druckmann *et al.* 2005) which could interfere with the response to vaccination. Thus, vaccinating all animals on farms with herds chronically infected with *C. burnetii* may not be an effective measure to reduce shedding.
Cows observed here to shed the bacterium on Day 91-97 postpartum showed improved subsequent fertility at first AI over non-shedders. This observation is in agreement with our suggestion based on prior findings (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2012) that non-infected animals are not protected against the bacterium and consequently may be more susceptible to infection. However, cows shedding during the first five weeks postpartum showed a lower fertility at first AI than non-shedders over this period. Recently, vaccination in goats was reported to increase milk shedding from hours to 9 days or more after vaccination (Hermans et al. 2011). Thus, it could be that post-vaccine shedding masks shedding patterns within the first weeks of vaccination. Future studies should try to determine whether cows shed live bacteria after vaccination or, in other words, whether the difference between control and vaccinated animals is simply that vaccinated cows shed dead bacteria.

A seroconversion rate of 61.9\% was recorded on Day 91-97 postpartum in seronegative vaccinated dams. Despite no seroconversion rates available in the literature for inactivated C. burnetii phase I vaccination of dairy cattle, similar rates have been reported for dairy sheep in northern Spain (Astobiza et al. 2011).

In the subgroup of 70 animals, plasma C. burnetii antibody levels were observed to fall at parturition. This drop could be the result of antibody migration from peripheral blood to the mammary glands to produce colostrum (Herr et al. 2011). However, vaccinated animals showed a less pronounced decline in antibodies which remained elevated until three months postpartum relative to the levels recorded in control cows. This could be attributable to the Th2 immune response induced by the vaccine.

According to other authors, Coxiella burnetii seropositivity is a risk factor for bacterial shedding (Courcoul et al. 2010). Moreover, consistent with our findings, seroconversion is not observed in non-vaccinated animals (Böttcher et al. 2011; Nogareda et al. 2012) reflecting the high stability of C. burnetii antibodies. Finally, all the cows included in this study delivered at term, with no vaccine-associated abortions observed, indicating the safety of this vaccine.

In conclusion, the vaccination of pregnant dairy cows with an inactivated Coxiella burnetii phase I vaccine at the start of the third trimester of pregnancy did not reduce shedding of the bacterium. Irrespective of vaccination, shedding levels during the postpartum period were related to fertility in response to first AI.
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REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF HIGH PRODUCING LACTATING COWS IN COXIELLA-INFECTED HERDS FOLLOWING VACCINATION WITH PHASE-I COXIELLA BURNETII VACCINE DURING ADVANCED PREGNANCY

Abstract

This study was designed to assess the safety of phase I vaccination against *Coxiella burnetii* in advanced pregnancy and the effect of vaccination on subsequent reproductive performance of high producing dairy cows. *C. burnetii* serostatus was determined in 719 dairy cows by individual serological testing. According to their serostatus, cows were randomly assigned to a control (n = 359) or vaccine (n = 360) group (inactivated phase I on Days 171-177 and 192-198 of gestation, Coxevac-Ceva Sante Animale). Using a χ2-test, vaccination had no effect on abortion before parturition, retention of placenta and stillbirth, either in seropositive as in seronegative cows. Cox’s proportional hazards model revealed that cows in the vaccine group were 1.22 times more likely to conceive during the first 150 days in milk than cows in the control group. Moreover, the likelihood of pregnancy was lower in multiparous cows, cows with a retained placenta and cows undergoing first AI during the warm season compared to the remaining animals (by factors of 0.75, 0.69 and 0.69, respectively). In animals testing seronegative for *C. burnetii*, the likelihood of pregnancy was 1.25 times higher in vaccinated cows compared to non-vaccinated seronegative animals. No effect of vaccination on subsequent fertility was detected in seropositive animals. In conclusion, the results of this study indicate that phase I vaccination against *C. burnetii* during advanced pregnancy in dairy cows is safe and improves subsequent fertility of *C. burnetii* seronegative animals.

1. Introduction

*Coxiella burnetii* is an obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacterium that causes Q fever, an endemic worldwide zoonosis (Guatteo et al. 2011). *C. burnetii* has a wide range of susceptible hosts including farm animals, pets, wild mammals, birds, reptiles, and ticks (Rodolakis 2009; Porter et al. 2011). However, livestock is considered to be the major source of human infection with the microorganism (Maurin and Raoult 1999; Porter et al. 2011). Despite extensive descriptions of the effects of the illness in both humans and small ruminants (Maurin and Raoult 1999; Carcopino et al. 2009), described clinical signs in cattle have been inconsistent (Literák and Kroupa 1998; López-Gatius et al. 2012; Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2013). A probable reason for this is that *C. burnetii* infection is frequently subclinical (Rodolakis et al. 2009; Hansen et al. 2011). The most commonly reported clinical signs in dairy cattle are reproductive disorders such as placental damage, abortion, stillbirth, weak offspring, placenta retention, postpartum metritis and infertility (To et al. 1998; Bildfell et al. 2000; Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2010; López-Gatius et al. 2012). However, other authors did not find any consistent relationship between *C. burnetii* infection and reproduction in dairy cows.
(Muskens et al. 2011; Agerholm 2013). Vaccination against *C. burnetii* has been proposed as an effective measure to control and reduce bacterial shedding in dairy cattle (Guatteo et al. 2008; Rodolakis et al. 2009; Taurel et al. 2012). Today, only the phase I vaccine, containing virulent bacteria with complete lipopolysaccharides (LPS) (Shannon and Heinzen 2009) is considered effective in ruminants (Arricau-Bouvery et al. 2005; Guatteo et al. 2008). Protocols developed for vaccination against *C. burnetii* have been successful in non-pregnant animals (Guatteo et al. 2008). However, to the best of our knowledge, no reports exist in the literature regarding the effects of the vaccine in confirmed advanced pregnant cows nor its effect on the reproductive performance of dairy cows. Therefore, the present study was designed to (1) assess the safety of phase I vaccination against *C. burnetii* in advanced pregnancy and (2) the effect of vaccination on subsequent reproductive performance of high producing dairy cows.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cattle and herd management

The study was performed on two commercial Holstein-Friesian dairy herds in north-eastern Spain each comprising 625 (herd 1) and 168 (herd 2) cows, respectively. From February 2011 to March 2012, 719 pregnant lactating dairy cows between Days 171 and 177 of pregnancy were recruited. The cows calved all year round, were milked three times daily and were fed complete rations (Clark et al. 2001). Pregnant animals were given killed vaccines (Triangle® 4, Boehringer Ingelheim, Barcelona, Spain) during the 7th month of each gestation period. Parous cows that were not pregnant on Day 150 postpartum received a further killed vaccine. The presence of *C. burnetii* DNA in the bulk tank milk (BTM) was detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in both herds (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2010; 2011; López-Gatius 2012). Based on previous ELISA and PCR analyses of BTM samples performed on these farms on 2009 and 2010 (Nogareda et al. 2012), the herds were considered to be persistently infected with *C. burnetii*. Due to the fact that *Neospora caninum* was present on farms studied and this parasite has been demonstrated not only to affect pregnancy losses but also interact with *C. burnetii* (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2010), neosporosis was included in the statistical analyses.

2.2. Reproductive health management

The herds were maintained on a weekly reproductive health programme. This involved examining the reproductive tract of each animal by ultrasound on Days 15-21 postpartum to check the genital tract (intrauterine fluid, uterine measurements) and ovaries (López-Helguera et al. 2012). All postpartum reproductive disorders were resolved before 60 days in milk. Cows 60 days in milk and not detected to be in oestrus in the preceding 21 days were examined weekly by ultrasound until oestrus following specific treatment or until artificial insemination (AI) was performed during a natural oestrus (López-Gatius et al. 2008).
2.3. Insemination, pregnancy diagnosis and pregnancy loss

All cows were artificially inseminated using semen from bulls of proven fertility. Oestrus was confirmed by palpation per rectum in cows deemed to be in oestrus using a pedometer system and the animals were inseminated at this time (López-Gatius 2000). If cows returned to oestrus the animals were recorded as non-pregnant. In the remaining cows, pregnancy diagnosis was performed by ultrasound 28-34 days post-AI. Pregnancy was confirmed by palpation per rectum 90-96, and 180-186 days post-insemination. Cows diagnosed as non-pregnant were either returned to the reproductive programme or scheduled for culling. Since management and cow-related factors of a non-infectious nature have been linked to early foetal loss in our geographical area (López-Gatius et al. 2009; López-Gatius and Garcia-Ispierto 2010), early foetal loss was recorded when the 90-96 day-diagnosis proved negative.

2.4. Experimental design

At the study outset, individual blood tests were performed in heifers (more than 12 months old) and parous cows to determine the C. burnetii antibody status of the herds. Since C. burnetii seropositivity throughout gestation has been demonstrated to be highly stable in dairy cows and that seroconversion is low in persistently infected herds (Nogareda et al. 2012; Paul et al. 2012), a single serological test was performed at the beginning of the study. After the serological test, 719 animals were randomly assigned to a control (not treated) (n = 359) or vaccine (n = 360) group according their serostatus. Cows in the vaccine group received 2 injections 3 weeks apart of 4 ml of inactivated phase I vaccine (Coxevac, CEVA Santé Animale, ZI de la Ballastière, Libourne, France) on Days 171-177 and 192-198 of gestation. Timing of treatment was due to the management policy of the farms (before dry-off period). These injections were performed subcutaneously in the neck area using sterile, single-use needles and syringes. Each 4-mL vaccine dose contained purified phase I C. burnetii corpuscular antigens (100 µg/mL) inactivated by formaldehyde. The study was divided in two parts: (1) vaccine security, evaluated considering the abortions post-vaccination after Day 199 of gestation and the calving events such as, stillbirth and retained placenta (retention of the foetal membranes >12 h) and (2) effects of vaccination on subsequent reproductive performance, evaluated by conception rate (time to pregnant AI) and early pregnancy losses (pregnancy losses during the first 90 days of gestation). Thirty four pregnant cows were culled before calving due to abortion (n = 27) or other causes (n = 7) and 48 were never inseminated because of calving complications. Thus, the study population of the second part of analyses comprised 637 parous animals.
2.4.1. Serological examinations

2.4.1.1. Coxiella burnetii antibodies

Blood samples were collected from the caudal vein and immediately sent to the laboratory in the University of Lleida (Spain). Serum was obtained from each sample and kept at 4 °C until analysis, always performed within 48 h of collection. A commercial indirect ELISA LSI VET RUMINANT Milk/Serum Q FEVER kit (CoxLS kit, from Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, France) was used to determine antibodies against *C. burnetii* in the serum samples. The test was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The antigen used with the ELISA CoxLS kit was isolated from domestic ruminants at INRA, Nouzilly (France). A cocktail of both antigen phases (I and II) was used in this assay to detect total anti-*C. burnetii* immunoglobulin G antibodies (IgG) (Muskens et al. 2011). For each sample, the S/P ratio was calculated as follows: sample OD (optical density) – negative control OD/positive control OD – negative control OD. The results were expressed as titres (titre = S/P 100). A serum sample was scored as negative for antibodies against *Coxiella* when the titre was lower than 40.

2.4.1.2. Neospora caninum antibodies

Samples were tested for antibodies against *N. caninum* using an ELISA kit (CIVTEST anti-*Neospora*; Hipra, Girona, Spain) based on the whole tachyzoite lysate of *N. caninum* NC-1. This validated test (López-Gatius et al. 2004) was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sensitivity and specificity values for the ELISA test are 95.9% and 99.4%, respectively (von Blumröder et al. 2004).

2.5. Data collection and statistical analysis

The following data were recorded for each animal: herd, parity (primiparous vs. multiparous), *C. burnetii* and *N. caninum* serostatus, treatment group (control vs. vaccine), abortion post-vaccination (presence or absence), calving date, twinning, stillbirth, retained placenta, milk production on Day 50 of lactation: high (≥40 kg) vs. low producers (<40 kg), date of AI, season of first AI (cool vs. warm) (López-Gatius 2003; Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2007), pregnancy diagnosis 28-34 days following AI, twin pregnancy (single vs. twin/multiple) and early foetal loss. All statistics procedures were performed using the SPSS package version 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) with significance set at p < 0.05.
2.5.1. Vaccine security

Abortion before parturition, retention of placenta and stillbirth were compared to treatment group according to their serostatus (C. burnetii seronegative vs. seropositive) using a \( \chi^2 \)-test.

2.5.2. Subsequent reproductive performance

Factors affecting the interval from parturition to conception until Day 150 postpartum were determined by Cox proportional hazard model. This model was firstly constructed censoring cows that were culled or not pregnant during the study period. The predictive variables used were herd, parity, C. burnetii seropositivity, treatment group, abortion post-vaccination, twinning, stillbirth, retained placenta, milk production and season of first AI. Since Coxiella-seropositivity has been reported to modify the vaccine’s effects (Guatteo et al. 2008), after this initial analysis including all cows, two subsequent tests were conducted separately on seronegative and seropositive animals. To determine factors affecting early pregnancy loss on Day 90 of gestation, logistic regression analysis was performed on data from each cow, using early foetal loss as the dependent variable (0 or 1), and herd, parity, previous twinning, stillbirth, retained placenta, milk production, season of AI, twin pregnancy, Coxiella and Neospora-seropositivity and treatment group as independent factors. Regression analyses were conducted according to the method of Hosmer and Lemeshow (Hosmer and Lemeshow 1989) through the logistic procedure of the SPSS package.

3. Results

3.1. Vaccine security

Mean milk production at 50 days postpartum was 45.1 ± 0.4 and 36.5 ± 0.8 kg in herd 1 and 2, respectively (mean ± SD). Table 1 describes the distribution of cows and heifers in the different treatment groups in both herds. Serological tests revealed that 179 cows were seropositive for C. burnetii (24.89%), 157 animals in herd 1 (24.7%) and 22 in herd 2 (25.6%). Twenty four cows from herd 1 were seropositive to N. caninum (3.3% of total). After Day 192-198 of gestation, 27 animals aborted: 12 controls and 15 in the vaccine group (3.3% vs. 4.1%, \( p > 0.1 \)). Five of these 27 aborted animals were Neospora-seropositive. Moreover, seven cows were culled before parturition some because of trauma and the rest because of digestive problems. After parturition, 72 cows had a retained placenta (10.5%), 39 control (25 seronegative and 14 seropositive, \( p > 0.1 \)) and 33 vaccinated animals (18 seronegative and 15 seropositive, \( p > 0.1 \)). Fifty two gave birth to stillborn calves (7.5%), 28 control (19 seronegative and 9 seropositive, \( p > 0.1 \)) and 33 vaccinated animals (18 seronegative and 6 seropositive, \( p > 0.1 \)). Forty eight of the 685 parous animals were never inseminated due to complications at parturition (severe dystocia) or economic decisions (culling).
Table 1. Distribution of cows and heifers in the different treatment groups according to serostatus (seropositive vs. seronegative) in both herds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sero+</td>
<td>Sero-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herd1</td>
<td>Cows</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heifers</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herd2</td>
<td>Cows</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heifers</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value for the model 0.001. CI, confidence interval for the Hazard Ratio. HR, Hazard ratio

3.2. Subsequent reproductive performance

The parturition-first insemination interval for the studied period was 64.1±10.7 days (mean ± SD). Based on the hazard ratio, cows in the vaccine group were 1.22 times more likely to conceive during the established period than cows in the control group (Fig. 1). The likelihood of pregnancy was lower in multiparous cows, cows with placenta retention and cows first inseminated during the warm season compared to the remaining animals (by factors of 0.75, 0.69 and 0.69, respectively) (Table 2). Table 3 shows the factors found to affect time to conception for cows testing seronegative for *C. burnetii*. The likelihood of pregnancy was 1.25 times higher in vaccinated cows compared to non-vaccinated controls. Based on the hazard ratio, multiparous cows, cows with placenta retention and cows first inseminated during the warm season were 0.74, 0.71 and 0.67 times less likely to conceive during the first 150 days postpartum, respectively, compared to the remaining cows. Final Cox’s proportional hazards model provides the factors affecting time to conception in seropositive animals. Thus, cows with placenta retention were 0.63 times less likely to conceive than the remaining animals (P = 0.09). Finally, 483 cows became pregnant before Day 150 postpartum. After pregnancy diagnosis and before 90 days of gestation, 10 animals were culled because of respiratory or digestive disorders. The early foetal loss rate was 24.6%. Binary logistic regression analysis indicated no significant effects on early foetal loss, of herd, parity, previous twinning, stillbirth, retained placenta, season of AI, *C. burnetii* and *Neospora*-seropositivity and treatment group. Based on the odds ratios, the likelihood of early foetal loss was higher in cows carrying twins compared to those carrying singletons (OR = 2.12) (Table 4).
Figure 1. Survival curves for time to conception until Day 150 postpartum for cows in the control (N=317) or vaccine group (N=320)

Table 2. Final Cox’s proportional hazards regression models of factors associated with time to conception in lactating dairy cows (N=637)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>HR pregnancy</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination</td>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.02-1.46</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Cool</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.56-0.85</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta retention</td>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.50-0.94</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.61-0.92</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value for the model 0.001. CI, confidence interval for the Hazard Ratio; HR, Hazard ratio
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to address the effects of C. burnetii vaccination on the reproductive performance of dairy cows. Our findings reveal that treated animals were more likely to conceive than control cows. However, this improved fertility was only appreciable in animals testing seronegative for C. burnetii.

Moreover, safety of the vaccination of advanced pregnant animals was also proved. Previous reports have described the efficiency of vaccination at preventing C. burnetii shedding in non-pregnant cows (Guatteo et al. 2008; Taurel et al. 2012) or calves (Biberstein et al. 1977). However, as far as we are aware, the positive effects on reproductive performance of vaccination during advanced pregnancy have not yet been reported. In addition, our study revealed the safety of vaccination during gestation in that no difference was observed in both, the abortion rate and peripartum events, and between vaccinated and control animals. This result is in agreement with studies performed in other species such as small ruminants (Arricau-Bouvery et al. 2005). Despite dramatic immunodepression during the second and third trimester of gestation (Lewis 2004), C. burnetii vaccination in the last months of pregnancy seemed to produce a beneficial effect on the immune system. Thus, the negative effects of C. burnetii could have been mitigated during subsequent lactation. Given that the effect of C. burnetii on reproductive performance is still under discussion, the mechanism whereby vaccination improves fertility remains to be clarified. C. burnetii seronegative animals improved fertility in response to vaccination. In contrast of Guatteo et al. 2008, a putative bias towards vaccinated animals is not possible in this study. The

Table 3. Final Cox’s proportional hazards regression models of factors associated with time to conception in Coxiella burnetii seronegative lactating dairy cows (N=482)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>HR pregnancy</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P – value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination</td>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.02-1.54</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>Cool</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.53-0.85</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta retention</td>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.78-1.06</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.59-0.92</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value for the model 0.001. CI, confidence interval for the Hazard Ratio. HR, Hazard ratio

Table 4. Odds ratios of the variables included in the final binary logistic regression model for factors affecting early pregnancy loss in lactating dairy cows (N=473)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>% repeated breeders</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P - value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy diagnosis</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>84/386</td>
<td>21.76</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>_</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Twin</td>
<td>32/87</td>
<td>36.78</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>1.29-3.49</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value for the model 0.001. CI, confidence interval for the Odds Ratio

4. Discussion
experimental design was totally blind for farmers. Probably, this result is because vaccination of seronegative animals reduced *C. burnetii* excretion as previous studies described (Guatteo *et al.* 2008; Taurel *et al.* 2012). In a previous study, we observed that these susceptible cows showed a delayed return to ovarian cyclicity and longer time to conception (Garcia-Ispierto *et al.* 2013). Probably, the controlled exposure of the infectious agent by vaccinating susceptible animals protects cows from clinical or subclinical symptoms and it is shown as a better chance to conceive. Since heifers are mostly *C. burnetii* seronegative (Waag 2007), these animals are good candidates for vaccination. However, multiparous seronegative cows should not be overlooked. A recent modelling study proposed that vaccination protocols, including all animals, would reduce shedding and the environmental bacterial load, and as a consequence, the number of abortions would be more quickly reduced than vaccination protocols only targeted at heifers (Courcoul *et al.* 2011).

5. Conclusions

The results of this study indicate that phase I vaccination against *C. burnetii* during advanced pregnancy in dairy cows is safe and improves subsequent fertility of *C. burnetii* seronegative animals.
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GENERAL DISCUSSION
GENERAL DISCUSSION

The aim of this thesis was to provide information about epidemiology and control of a re-emerging zoonosis worldwide: Q fever. Although this disease is not new, there is a lack of studies clarifying the effects of the bacterium on reproductive performance of dairy cattle. Herein, the results found can be useful from a clinical point of view, not only for increasing knowledge on shedding patterns or serological profiles, but also to prevent the possible negative effects of this infection in dairy cattle. No antibodies against *C. burnetii* were detected in the newborn calf, even in calves born from seropositive animals. Moreover, no negative effects of *C. burnetii* shedding or seropositivity were found on the fertility of cows. In effect, a positive relationship was demonstrated between shedding patterns and return to postpartum ovarian cyclicity and conception rate at first AI. Finally, a positive effect of vaccination against *C. burnetii* in the third trimester of gestation on subsequent reproductive performance was determined, especially in seronegative cows.

1. Clinical relevance of *C. burnetii* infection in dairy herds

1.1. Calves

Calves play an important epidemiological role as reservoirs of infection in diseases with vertical transmission (Houe 1995; Whittington and Windsor 2009; Williams *et al.* 2009; Santman-Berends *et al.* 2010), especially in those farms with self-production reposition of heifers. Thus, monitor and cull calves born from infected animals may be an important tool to control an infection with a demonstrated vertical transmission. Scarce information on *C. burnetii* antibody levels of the neonate is available in literature. Vertical transmission of *C. burnetii* has not been demonstrated. In this thesis we found that precolostral antibody response was not detectable in calves born from dams with *C. burnetii*-qPCR-positive cotyledons (Chapter 1). After the colostrum intake of seropositive animals, all calves seroconverted. What is necessary now is to determine whether those seronegative calves born from infected dams have the presence of the bacteria, determined by PCR. If not, what is the mechanism that does not allow bacteria the cross-over of the placenta to the foetus in the case of live newborns? Maybe, failure of that mechanism determines abortion or stillbirth. Studies performed in mice (Baumgartner and Bachmann 1992) suggested that foetus-placental union resists *C. burnetii* vertical infection and that the infection of the newborn is established by aerosol inhalation at the moment of parturition. On the contrary, if the vertical transmission is possible, this could be indication of persistent infection due to immunotolerance to an early *in utero* infection, as suggested in other infections such as Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (Houe 1995) or *Mycobacterium avium paratuberculosis* (Whittington and Windsor 2009). Probably, a combination of both, serology and molecular techniques are necessary to understand better effects of in the newborn calf.
1.2. Postpartum diseases

Parturition is a crucial period in all mammals, but especially in dairy cows (Sheldon and Dobson 2004; Sheldon 2008). In addition, postpartum immunity is depressed during 2-3 weeks after calving (Hammon et al. 2006; LeBlanc 2008). In this critical period, the cow has to overcome the natural contamination of the genital tract after parturition and be able to get pregnant again (LeBlanc 2008; Sheldon et al. 2008). Moreover, postpartum recovery is even more complex in high producing systems due to postpartum immunosupression of high milk production (Dobson et al. 2007; Walsh et al. 2011). This fact can facilitate recrudescence or the novo infections. Thus, any calving and postpartum complications, such as C. burnetii infection, could delay both, return to cyclicity and uterine involution. Endometritis, inflammation of the endometrium without affecting the remaining uterine layers (LeBlanc et al. 2002; Sheldon et al. 2006), is usually underestimated when the cervix closes rapidly after parturition (Kasimanickam et al. 2004). Moreover, definition and diagnosis of subclinical endometritis is still under discussion (Lewis 1997; Barlund et al. 2008; Senosy et al. 2009; López-Helguera et al. 2012). Thus, determine at clinical level whether C. burnetii increases this uterine pathology is a really difficult task. There is controversy regarding the relationship C. burnetii-endometritis. While some authors revealed significant differences between seropositive or C. burnetii shedding animals (Woernle and Müller 1986), other authors have not (Sting et al. 2000). In this thesis we could demonstrate that seropositive cows exhibited lower risk of suffering endometritis diagnosed by ultrasonography than the remaining animals (Chapter 2). To first determine a clear relationship between this infection and endometritis, scientific community has to clarify what subclinical endometritis is, and to put some light on what a C. burnetii infected animal is. For example, the differences among studies could be simply because definitions of endometritis are different, or because seropositive animals are protected against coxiellosis because they just suffered the acute infection weeks ago.

1.3. Conception rate

Fertility declining besides a milk production increase is being described in dairy cattle since 1980’s (López Gatius 2003; López Gatius et al. 2006). This is a multifactorial process where genetics, nutrition, production and management play an important role. Therefore, studies of the effects of an infectious disease on conception rate should be done carefully. Moreover, postpartum pathologies such as retention of placenta, metritis and endometritis lead to decreased conception rate (Grön et al. 1990; Fourichon et al. 2000; López-Gatius et al. 2006; Bell and Roberts 2007; Mee 2008).

C. burnetii infection in dairy cattle is often associated with infertility (Krauss et al. 1987; Aitken 1989; To et al. 1998). However, it has been demonstrate that early fertile cows (cows becoming pregnant before Day 90 of lactation) were more likely to be
seropositive than the remaining animals (López-Gatius et al. 2012), according to our results (Chapter 2). Furthermore, according to Chapter 3, shedding cows on days 91-97 postpartum showed a higher conception rate at first AI than the remaining cows. Again, these statements should be taken into account carefully. There are several factors which can modify the results of a study trying to associate *C. burnetii* infection with the conception rate:

(1) Definition of infection. How could we define a *Coxiella*-infected animal? Depending of its seropositivity? Or its shedding status?

(2) Circulation of different *C. burnetii* genotypes. What is the importance of the type of *C. burnetii* strain in the cow?

(3) Monitorization or not of other factors traditionally affecting the conception rate such as previous postpartum diseases, days in milk, milk production, the bull inseminating semen, inseminator or season among others.

We should assume that there are no consistent studies that determine the real clinical implication of *C. burnetii* infection on the conception rate of the dairy herds. Furthermore, the enormous cost of the analyses for example, PCR for all routes of shedding such as milk, feces and vaginal fluid during the postpartum and insemination periods question the real necessity of performing these kinds of studies. Finally, the study population should be elevated to try to demonstrate clearly the consequences of a factor on the conception rate.

1.4. Pregnancy losses

Pregnancy loss is the main symptom attributed to Q fever in sheep and goats, especially in late pregnancy (Masala et al. 2004; Woldehiwet 2004; Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005; Sánchez et al. 2006; Wouda and Dercksen 2007; Jones et al. 2010). In cattle, this bacterium has been linked to abortion during the third trimester of gestation (van Moll et al, 1993; Bildfell et al. 2000; Cabassi et al. 2006; Parisi et al. 2006; Jensen et al. 2007; Jones et al. 2010; Pritchard et al. 2011; Muskens et al. 2012) but there is controversy. In any of our studies, relationships between abortion and *C. burnetii* infection has been found according to other authors (Lange et al. 1992; Tramuta et al. 2011; López-Gatius et al. 2012; Yang et al. 2012). In addition, bacterium could not be associated with abortion in cattle under experimental conditions (Agerholm 2013). Probably, the strain has an important role in these differences (Rusell-Lodrigue et al. 2009). Thus, as has already been suggested (Agerholm 2013) the importance of *C. burnetii* as an abortifacient agent in dairy cattle is overestimated. As it has been noted above on the conception rate, the main procedure to solve the question whether *C. burnetii* infection is a risk factor of abortion is to monitor all other factors than can be
related to pregnancy loss, such as management, environmental and cow factors besides concurrent infectious diseases. None of the existing published studies controlled all that.

2. Aspects of laboratorial diagnoses

2.1. Serology

Serology is the cheapest laboratorial method currently available to diagnose *C. burnetii* infection in a herd. Seroprevalence of dairy cows range from 37 to 100 in herds in Europe (EFSA 2010). ELISAs are the most commonly used assays for screening herds, but its interpretation at the individual level is difficult. We do not known, the exact time of seroconversion or the duration of antibody titers in the cow (Kennerman et al. 2010). Moreover, although there is a positive correlation of serology and shedding (Guatteo et al. 2007; Courcoul et al. 2010), the presence of seronegative animals that shed *C. burnetii* and seropositive that do not (Guatteo et al. 2007; Rousset et al. 2009; Hansen et al. 2011), questions the significance of serology in dairy cows. Despite of this, studies on dynamics of the antibody titration during the productive life of the cow will help to interpret serological data. Numerous questions regarding serology interpretation and its relationship with clinical symptomatology remain unsolved.

According with previous data (McCaughey et al. 2010; Böttcher et al. 2011; Paul et al. 2012), our findings demonstrated that there is a positive correlation between *C. burnetii* seropositivity and parity. The likelihood of seropositivity is 21 times higher for multiparous than for primiparous cows and heifers (Chapter 1). Therefore, multiparous cows tend to be already immunized, while young animals are susceptible to infection. In addition, pregnant cows can show a very stable pattern of *C. burnetii* antibodies throughout gestation with a postpartum decrease (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2011), probably due to a shunting of serum antibodies to colostrum prior to calving. The postpartum antibody drop was more clearly observed in primiparous cows, which showed higher antibody levels compared to multiparous cows throughout gestation (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2011). In fact, although there is a higher probability of having come into contact with the bacterium in multiparous cows, in a recent study multiparous cows showed a lower risk of being seropositive than primiparous cows (Garcia-Ispierto et al. 2011). This apparent contradiction may not be difficult to interpret in the case of dairy cows. In dairy herds, cows with reproductive disorders are culled. Maybe *C. burnetii*-seropositive cows were culled in a higher proportion than their seronegative partners. None of the existing reports studied seroprevalence of culling cows.

In Chapter 1 and 3 it has been described that the likelihood of seropositivity is higher in shedding cows compared with non-shedders, in agreement with previous studies (Guatteo et al. 2007; Courcoul et al. 2010). The previous authors suggested that this relation between shedding patterns and serological profiles is due to strong stimulation of the immune system of the infected cow. However, previous works have reported a
large number of exceptions to all these findings, describing multiparous and/or shedding cows with a seronegative status (Guatteo et al. 2007; Rousset et al. 2009; Garcia Ispierto et al. 2011; Hansen et al. 2011; Nogareda et al. 2012). Accordingly, seronegative shedders have also been detected in our studies (Chapter 1, 3). The reason why several cows do not develop a humoral response is still unknown. A genetic resistance to infection or an immunotolerance phenomenon could explain the seronegativity of these cows. Thus, due to several limitations, serology may not be sufficient to diagnose C. burnetii infection, and a combination of serology and molecular biology is necessary to determine the level of infection in cattle.

2.2. Shedding patterns

Shedding of bacteria occur throughout milk, faeces and vaginal mucus. A recent study showed that dairy cows shedders with antibodies shed for a longer period of time than shedders without antibodies (Courcoul et al. 2010). Despite of that, serology is not a completely reliable screening test for the detection of shedders within a herd (Natale et al. 2012) and PCR performed in all possible routes is necessary to understand C. burnetii infection. Moreover, animals do not shed the bacteria continuously so that intermittent shedding is a common status of the infected cow (Guatteo et al. 2007). Thus, at clinical level, this can be practically and economically impossible to afford.

There are few publications relating C. burnetii shedding with reproductive disorders. The presence of C. burnetii DNA in placental cotyledons and in fetal tissues has been associated with abortions (Pritchard et al. 2011; Muskens et al. 2012). In addition, one study linked C. burnetii shedding in milk with chronic subclinical mastitis (Barlow et al. 2008). However, a study from Guatteo et al. (2006) described that the proportion of shedding cows not differ significantly between aborted and non-abortion cows. In our studies, shedding cows have shown an earlier return to cyclicity and a higher conception rate than non-shedders and all PCR positive cotyledons were collected from full term parturitions (Chapter 2, 3). Thus, the presence of the bacterium does not necessarily imply a clinical infection. The viability of the detected bacterium, strain and immune status of the shedding cow are factors to consider.

Shedding of C. burnetii in any of the three routes during parturition and third trimester of gestation is maximized due to a recrudescence of the bacteria (Harris et al. 2000; Chapter 1, 2, 3). The immunosuppression status of the cow during parturition due to high plasma concentrations of progesterone and cortisol may explain this increase in the number of shedding cows in these periods (Lewis et al. 2004).

During postpartum, shedding animals decrease until 90 days in milk (DIM), probably due to the immune status recovery of animals (Harris et al. 2000; Lewis et al. 2004). Regarding shedding routes, persistent shedding pattern have only been determined in milk (Guatteo et al. 2007), but not in vaginal mucus and feces (Guatteo et al. 2007).
These findings indicate that the digestive tract, uterus and vaginal environment may be less comfortable to the bacterium than the environment from the mammary gland. Clinical repercussions of this are not yet determined.

3. Does vaccination against *C. burnetii* improve reproductive performance?

Nowadays, measures to control *C. burnetii* infection in a farm consist in two main routes: (1) Antbioterapy or (2) vaccination. The first one, although it has been demonstrated to reduce shedding in cattle, does not reduce abortion or prevent shedding (Durand 1993; Rodolakis 2009; Angelakis and Raoult 2010; Taurel et al. 2012b) and it is not economically viable in dairy herds. In effect, milk following antibiotic administrations has to be removed from the food chain (European Commission 1996). Thus, vaccination against *C. burnetii* is the only possible solution to prevent shedding of the bacteria in a herd.

Several vaccines against *C. burnetii* have been developed: the phase I and phase II vaccine. Phase I seems the most protective, inducing seroconversion and reducing bacterial shedding and abortion rates in seronegative and/or PCR-negative goats (de Cremoux et al. 2012). In dairy cattle, a Th2 immune response and reduced shedding has been observed only in non-pregnant animals that are seronegative and/or PCR-negative (Guatteo et al. 2008). When applied in infected animals during peri-insemination period, vaccination does not prevent *C. burnetii* shedding (Guatteo et al. 2008; Rousset et al. 2009) leading the question the use of vaccination in adults. However, nulliparous heifers, considered most of them to be non-infected animals (Taurel et al. 2011, 2012a), are a common target population for vaccination.

Due to the farm management policy, vaccination post-AI is sometimes difficult and requires additional management efforts. Recently, two studies applied this vaccine during the dry period. Although this also did not reduce shedding during postpartum period (Chapter 3), it has been demonstrated to be safe, not increasing abortion rate, and improved subsequent fertility of herd, especially when applied to *C. burnetii* seronegative animals (Chapter 4). The question that arises is how vaccination against *C. burnetii* increases reproductive performance. Two hypotheses emerge: (1) vaccination protects seronegative animals to be infected with *C. burnetii* or (2) there is a non-specific immunostimulation after vaccination that is beneficial for the animal. Thus, probably it is better to vaccinate heifers (usually seronegative animals) and pregnant cows to increase subsequent reproductive performance in an already infected herd.
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CONCLUSIONS
CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions of this thesis are:

- There was no detectable precolostral antibody response in calves born from dams with *C. burnetii*-qPCR-positive cotyledons.

- Multiparous cows showed a significantly higher seroprevalence than primiparous cows and heifers.

- Colostral antibodies were efficiently transferred to newborn calves.

- There was a link between bacterial shedding on Day 171-177 of gestation and *Coxiella*-seropositivity of the dam.

- Animals not infected with *Coxiella* seem to be susceptible to infection and not protected against the bacterium in dairy herds.

- The elevated costs of determining infection at the farm level, make monitoring of cows virtually impossible from a clinical standpoint.

- Vaccination of pregnant dairy cows with an inactivated *Coxiella burnetii* phase I vaccine at the start of the third trimester of pregnancy did not reduce shedding of the bacterium.

- Irrespective of vaccination, shedding levels during the postpartum period were positively related to fertility in response to first AI.

- Phase I vaccination against *C. burnetii* on advanced pregnant dairy cows improved subsequent fertility.

- Vaccination could be implemented in *Coxiella*-infected dairy herds, especially on seronegative animals.
EPILOGUE
CHAPTER 5

Q fever: real threat or false alarm?

Winner paper of “Premi de Comunicació Científica Joan Lluís Vives 2013, XIV edició. Modalitat de ciències bàsiques, ciències de la salut, enginyeries i arquitectures”.
Q FEVER: REAL THREAT OR FALSE ALARM?

1. Introduction

Anton van Leeuwenhoek was a Dutch naturalist who first time observed spermatozoa in 1677 by an own-made microscope. He named them “animalcules” (Karamanou et al. 2010). Science revealed that those diminutive animalcules were responsible of the human existence. Later, the German physician Robert Koch discovered the etiologic agent of anthrax, Bacillus anthracis, in blood of infected cows (Schmitt 1982). After a long time of skepticism, bacteria were recognized as causative agents of disease. Great efforts have been made by scientific community to classify and characterize microorganisms. Moreover, scientists have found the way to use them in their own benefit. Actually, microorganisms have been used since antiquity. The first evidence of the fermented milk products elaboration appeared around the year 10000 BC (Pederson 1979). Nowadays food industry (wine, beer, yogurt, bread), and human and animal health (mainly antibiotics and vaccines), take advantage of the properties and benefits associated with bacteria. In fact, knowledge of bacteria has improved both, human health and food quality, and allowed the eradication of high morbidity viruses such as Smallpox virus (Henderson 2009) and keep under control bacterial diseases such as leprosy (WHO 2012).

Contrary to one would think, humans are far from bacteria control. Due to the increasing occurrence of antibiotic resistant strains, there are groups of bacteria responsible for pandemic diseases whose treatment is no longer effective. Examples are the multiple drug-resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis, penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumonia, vancomycin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, a major cause of hospital-acquired infections (Domin 1998; Cole 2012).

Zoonoses are a specific group of infectious or parasitic diseases able to be transmitted from animals to human population (Pastoret 2009; WHO 2013). Currently, 60% of human pathogens are zoonotic (Cleaveland et al. 2001) and so 75% of human emerging diseases (Slingenbergh et al. 2004). Emerging diseases are defined as those have appeared recently in humans or that existed previously but nowadays are rapidly increasing in incidence (Cleaveland et al. 2001; WHO 2013).

2. Q fever, a XXI century zoonosis

Q fever is a good example of an emerging zoonosis. The disease was first described by Derrick (1935), a doctor from Queensland (Australia). He described an outbreak of an unspecific disease in a group of workers from a cattle slaughterhouse (Derrrik 1937). A year later, and after several failed attempts to associate the outbreak with a previous known microorganism, Derrick sent samples from his patients to his colleague Burnet, a
doctor from Melbourne. Burnet was successful in isolating this unknown bacterium. Meanwhile, in 1937, Herald Cox isolated the same microorganism from ticks in United States of America. The "Q fever" name was given by its first discoverer, Derrick. The name’s etymology includes: (1) "Fever", the main symptom and (2) "Q" from the word "Query" (question), referred to the mystery of what microorganism caused those episodes of fever. Etiologic bacterial agent was named as “Coxiella burnetii” in honor of its discoverers Cox and Burnet (Maurin and Raoult 1999).

*C. burnetii* main characteristics are the high resistance in the environment and high infectious capacity by aerosols (Azad 2007). Probably for that reason, this bacterium was object of many experiments to use it as a biological weapon during Second World War by the ancient URRS, USA and Japan (Alibek 1999; Garrett 2000). However, Q fever was not really considered as a disease of a public health importance until the first decade of XXI century. At that moment, an epidemic wave of Q fever appeared in several countries of the European Union (Georgiev et al. 2013). The most significant one was an outbreak in the Netherlands between years 2007 and 2010. In 2009, 2,357 human cases were reported, with six mortal victims (van der Hoek et al. 2010; Georgiev et al. 2013). In addition, livestock sector, especially goat herds, reported large economic losses due to miscarriages (Roest et al. 2011). Furthermore, the stamping out applied to the infected herds involved the sacrifice of a large number of goats (van der Hoek et al. 2010; Roest et al. 2011). Until that moment, Q fever has been considered as a reemerging zoonosis and started being the subject of several scientific studies worldwide (Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005).

3. What is known today about Q fever?

As previously said, *Coxiella burnetii* is the etiologic agent of Q fever, an endemic worldwide disease. It is an obligated intracellular bacillus that can be isolated from a large number of hosts such as ticks, wild and domestic mammals and humans. However, domestic ruminants (sheep, goat and cow) are the main reservoir and source of infection for humans (Maurin and Raoult, 1999; Arricau-Bouvery et al. 2005). Infected animals can shed the bacterium to the environment through milk, feces, vaginal fluid, urine, semen and abortion and parturition products (fluids and placenta) (Kruszewska and Tylewska-Wierzbanowska 1997; Heinzen et al. 1999; Guatteo et al. 2006). Despite shedding is possible at any time, abortion or parturition are the periods when bacterial shedding is maximized (Gutteo et al. 2007; Harris et al. 2010). Contaminated aerosols can travel long distances transported by wind. Thus, it is possible that *C. burnetii* infect not only to risk personnel such as veterinarians or farmers, but also general population (Figure 1). Once inhaled, bacteria reach lungs of the host and are phagocyted, spread throughout the host organism and finally excreted out of the body to the environment (Maurin and Raoult 1999).
Clinical signs produced by *Coxiella burnetii* in humans are well known. It has been calculated that in 60% of cases the infection are subclinical. However, in some cases it can cause a flu-like syndrome with high fever and respiratory problems. Moreover, in the chronic form, hepatitis or endocarditis may appear. These symptoms mainly occur in immunocompromised or advanced age patients. In addition, abortions in pregnant women have also been reported (Porter *et al.* 2011). In fact, abortions caused by *Coxiella burnetii* are underdiagnosed in women (Marrie 1993; Carcopino *et al.* 2009). Furthermore, seroconversion occurs in infected patients 2-3 weeks after the onset of symptoms (Tissot *et al.* 1994; Fournier *et al.* 1998; Fournier and Raoult 2003).

4. What does still remained unknown about Q fever?

In domestic mammals this disease has not been extensively studied as in humans. Moreover, different studies have shown contradictory results (To *et al.* 1998; Woldehiwet *et al.* 2004; Ruiz-Fons *et al.* 2010; Agerholm 2013). Reproductive problems such as abortions, infertility, premature births with weak or dead calves, endometritis, placentitis, placental retention at parturition and even mastitis have been linked to *C. burnetii* infection (Krauss *et al.* 1987; Tainturier 1987; Aitken 1989; van Moll *et al.* 1993, Sanford *et al.* 1994; To *et al.* 1998; Bildfell *et al.* 2000; Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005; Jensen *et al.* 2007; Barlow *et al.* 2008; Jones *et al.* 2010; López-Gatius *et al.* 2012, Muskens *et al.* 2012). In cattle, this infection is still more contradictory, maybe because in this specie *C. burnetii* is usually subclinical and difficult to detect at farm level (Porter *et al.* 2011). Thus, this bacterium can not only be
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**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of *Coxiella burnetii* biological cycle. In this diagram, the epidemiological role of the transmission by oral ingestion of contaminated raw milk or the tick as a possible vector is questioned.
a public health problem, but also can cause an economical stroke in herds. To control this disease in human population, scientific community should start controlling the bacterium in farms, especially in ruminant herds. This could only be possible whether the knowledge of the pathogenesis of \textit{C. burnetii} improves.

To control the disease it is essential to detect infected animals. However, the diagnosis is now not accurate at clinical level. There are two laboratorial techniques to detect the bacteria: Serology such as Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assay (ELISA), based on plasma detection of anti-\textit{C. burnetii} antibodies, and molecular biology such as Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), based on the detection and amplification of DNA fragments of the bacterium. A combination of both is frequently required to detect the infection, because there are seropositive cows that do not shed the bacterium and seronegative animals that shed it (Guatteo \textit{et al.} 2007; Rousset \textit{et al.} 2009; Hansen \textit{et al.} 2011). The question that still remains to be solved in cattle is whether the pattern of shedding and serology has a meaning as in humans or is just an individual variance.

5. Effects of Q fever in bovine, the controversy

Nowadays, as it is discussed above, the implication of \textit{C. burnetii} in reproductive problems of dairy cows is still not well clarified. In one hand, several reproductive problems are described, such as placenta retention, abortions and infertility in seropositive animals (Krauss \textit{et al.} 1987; To \textit{et al.} 1995; Hässig and Lubsen 1998; To \textit{et al.} 1998, López-Gatius \textit{et al.} 2012). On the other hand, several scientists demonstrated no effect of this bacterium on reproduction (Lange \textit{et al.} 1992; Nielsen \textit{et al.} 2011; Muskens \textit{et al.} 2012; Paul \textit{et al.} 2012; Agerholm 2013) or even found a positive correlation with fertility (Garcia-Ispierto \textit{et al.} 2012). Maybe the confounding results are due to the diagnosis of this disease. While in some papers consider that a cow is suffering Q fever using serology, others consider shedding patterns or even a combination of both, serology and molecular techniques. Moreover, culled animals should be considered in the analyses because less productive and infertile animals are culled for economical reasons. It is possible that \textit{C. burnetii} is present in those cows.

The controversy found in literature, could be also explained by the bacteria strain. It has been demonstrated that \textit{C. burnetii} virulence is variable according to the strain. Thus, \textit{Nine Mile} has a higher virulence than \textit{Priscilla} and \textit{Dugway} strains (Russell-Lodrigue \textit{et al.} 2009). Recently it has been demonstrated that strains of our region, Spain, have low virulence (Jado \textit{et al.} 2012). Thus, it is necessary that scientists determine the \textit{C. burnetii} strain before performing analyses regarding effects of this bacterium on animals.
6. Can Q fever be treated in animals?

In human medicine, treatment for coxiellosis with antibiotics is well established (Porter et al. 2011). In contrast, in animals, antibiotherapy has a restricted use for three obvious reasons. First, there must be a period of suppression for meat or milk consumption. Second, for animal use, the treatment is expensive and third, the effectiveness of antibiotherapy in animals remains unclear (Muskens et al. 2007). Moreover, results of existing studies are contradictory (Behymer et al. 1977; Astobiza et al. 2010). Generally, antibiotherapy is associated with the decrease of the bacterial load shed. However, the treatment is not able to prevent shedding nor limit the duration of bacterial excretion (Durand 1993; Rodolakis 2009; Angelakis and Raoult 2010; Taurel et al. 2012). For these reasons, control methods acquire relevance.

7. How to Control Q fever in dairy cattle farms

Control Q fever involves the application of measures to reduce the possibility of cow to cow or cow-another specie transmission, including humans, especially staff. The most relevant control methods are the following:

- To keep housings an especially calving parlour in good hygienic conditions, and maintain well-ventilated environments (Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005; EFSA 2010).

- To remove the placenta after an abortion or parturition as fast as possible, always manipulating it with gloves (Woldehiwet 2004; Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005; EFSA 2010).

- To avoid remove manure on windy days for not to spread the bacteria (Berri et al. 2004).

- To prevent the entry of wild animals, dogs and cats on the farm (Mada 2005).

- To pasteurize or sterilize milk for human consumption (Enright et al. 1957; Anon 2004; Woldehiwet 2004; Cerf and Condron 2006).

- Vaccination to immunize animals. Nowadays, inactivated phase I vaccines are the main immunological products used to be applied in domestic ruminants (Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005). This vaccine reduces shedding levels in infected females (Sadecky et al. 1975; Sadecky and Brezina 1977; Brooks et al. 1986; Guatteo et al. 2008; de Cremoux et al. 2012; Taurel et al. 2012). In addition, in goats, it reduces the incidence of abortions (Arricau-Bouvery et al. 2005). However, these positive effects are only described in both, non-infected and non-pregnant females (Guatteo et al. 2008). Recently, a study has described an improved reproductive performance in vaccinated
cows during the third trimester of pregnancy, compared to the remaining animals (López-Helguera et al. 2013).

8. The reality on Q fever

In the last years, Q fever has been a very controversial issue among the scientific community. On one hand, there are defenders of the public health importance of this zoonosis and on the other hand, others believed that Q fever is just a subclinical infectious process, appearing sporadic clinical manifestations in subjects with predisposing factors.

After the last outbreak produced in the Netherlands from 2007 until 2010, no new epidemic waves have been reported in Europe. However, *C. burnetii* infection is detected in the all 5 continents (Guatteo et al. 2011). The question that arises is whether Q fever is now under control. It is probable that the continuous mutation of all bacteria, including *C. burnetii*, has created a low virulence strain. As a conclusion, more studies are needed to determine the consequences of this infection in dairy herds. To achieve this objective, laboratorial methods should improve, allowing an economic and practical diagnosis of Q fever infection in herds. Furthermore, to avoid the spread of virulent strains from one area to another, a strict control of livestock movements is necessary. In fact, probably with the current biosecurity methods, this infection should be under control. Thus, management practices seem to be the key to solve Q fever.
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*ESTUDIO DE LA EVOLUCIÓN DE ANTICUERPOS DE COXIELLA BURNETII EN UN REBAÑO DE VACAS LECHERAS DE ALTA PRODUCCIÓN EN EL NORESTE DE ESPAÑA DURANTE UN AÑO*

Tutusaus J, García-Ispierto I, López-Gatius F, Nogareda, C.

Departament de Producció animal. ETSEA. Universitat de Lleida

*Coxiella burnetii*, agente causante de Fiebre Q, es una zoonosis distribuida mundialmente. Esta bacteria puede producir desórdenes reproductivos tales como abortos, muerte fetal, crías débiles, mastitis, metritis e infertilidad en el ganado vacuno lechero. El diagnóstico serológico por ELISA se considera una de las pruebas de elección para el estudio seroepidemiológico. El objetivo de este trabajo fue evaluar los anticuerpos de *C. burnetii* en 478 vacas lecheras de alta producción. El análisis serológico se realizó mediante un kit comercial de ELISA indirecto LSIVET. Una muestra se consideró negativa, positiva 1, 2, 3 o 4 según si el título era ≤ 40, de 40 a ≤ 100, de 100 a ≤ 200, de 200 a ≤ 300 y > 300, respectivamente. La prevalencia de anticuerpos fue del 51% en 2009 y 49,4% en 2010. El 65% de los animales se mantuvieron estables en el mismo grupo, el 10,5% disminuyeron y el 24,5% aumentaron durante el periodo de estudio. El 4,8% de los animales seropositivizaron, mientras que un 6,5% seronegativizaron. El análisis de la leche de tanque por RT-PCR en los dos años estudiados confirmó una fuerte excreción bacteriana (>10.000 bacterias/ml). Los resultados obtenidos son similares a los publicados por Guatteo y col. (2007), sugiriendo la amplia distribución de la infección y la estabilidad de los anticuerpos.
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Título: Relationship between *Coxiella burnetii* shedding in faeces, milk and vaginal fluid at parturition and the presence of bacterirum in placental cotyledons
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INTRODUCCIÓN

La fiebre Q es una zoonosis endémica a nivel mundial producida por un bacilo Gram negativo intracelular obligado, *Coxiella burnetii* (Maurin y Raoult, 1999). A pesar de que existe un amplio rango de hospedadores, los rumiantes domésticos constituyen los principales reservorios y la fuente de infección más importante para los humanos (Maurin y Raoult, 1999). La sintomatología clínica ha sido extensamente estudiada en humanos y en pequeños rumiantes, pero existe controversia en la vaca. Frecuentemente se asocia con problemas subclínicos, de los cuales destacan la subfertilidad, placentitis, metritis, mastitis (Porter et al., 2011) o problemas endocrinos, siendo los abortos esporádicos en esta especie (To et al., 1998; Garcia-Ispierto et al., 2010). Además, la bacteria se excreta al medio ambiente a través de uno o varios canales, como son el fluido vaginal, los productos del parto y los abortos, la placenta, las heces y la leche y pueden existir animales seropositivos no excretores, y excretores seronegativos. Por todo esto la detección de animales infectados es complicada a nivel de granja (Guatteo et al., 2007). El objetivo del presente trabajo fue estudiar la posible relación entre la presencia de *Coxiella burnetii* en los cotiledones placentarios el día del parto con la retención de placenta, los terneros nacidos muertos, la serología y la excreción bacteriana por otras vías como son el fluido vaginal, la leche y las heces durante los días 171-177 de gestación y el día del parto.

MATERIAL Y MÉTODOS

El estudio se realizó en dos explotaciones comerciales de vacuno lechero Frisón de alta producción en el noreste de España con 625 y 125 vacas en lactación de octubre de 2010 a octubre de 2011, en rebaños infectados por Fiebre Q. Un análisis previo al estudio mediante PCR cuantitativa de leche de tanque reveló la existencia de una infección natural en los dos rebaños por *Coxiella burnetii*. Los datos de este estudio se
obtuvieron de 78 vacas lecheras de alta producción procedentes de las dos explotaciones.

Diseño experimental

Las vacas se muestrearon los días 171-177 de gestación y el día del parto. En los dos muestreos se extrajo sangre para la determinación de los niveles plasmáticos de anticuerpos específicos frente a *Coxiella burnetii* y se tomaron muestras de heces, fluido vaginal, leche y calostro y cotiledones el día del parto, para detectar *C. burnetii* mediante PCR cuantitativa (QIAmp DNA minikit®, Qiagen S.A. and LSI Taqvet *Coxiella burnetii*®; Laboratoire Service International, Francia). Para la detección de anticuerpos anti *C. burnetii* se utilizó un kit de ELISA indirecto (LSIVET RUMINANT, Laboratoire Service International, Francia).

Datos registrados y análisis

Se realizaron seis regresiones logísticas binarias mediante el programa estadístico SPSS (versión 18). Para las regresiones se utilizaron la retención de placenta, los nacidos muertos, la serología en los días 171-177 de gestación, la excreción en el preparto, la excreción al parto, y la presencia de *C. burnetii* en los cotiledones como variables dependientes.

RESULTADOS Y DISCUSIÓN

La población de estudio estaba comprendida por 15 primíparas y 63 multíparas. En los días 171-177 días de gestación se diagnosticaron 41 vacas seropositivas (52,6%) frente a *C. burnetii*. Se analizaron un total de 546 muestras mediante PCR, de las cuales el 8,6% resultaron positivas (n=47) (Tabla 1). En concordancia con otros estudios (Harris *et al.*, 2000), las muestras analizadas indican un aumento de excreción vía vaginal durante el parto, comparado con otros períodos.

Mediante regresión logística no se encontraron relaciones significativas entre la retención de placenta, los terneros nacidos muertos, la seropositividad y la excreción de *C. burnetii* en los días 171-177 de gestación con la presencia de *C. burnetii* en los cotiledones placentarios. Estos hallazgos refuerzan la idea de que en ganado vacuno las infecciones por *C. burnetii* se caracterizan por su forma subclínica (Guatteo *et al.*, 2007). En un estudio reciente (Tutusaus *et al.*, 2013, aceptado para publicación) se ha observado que todos los terneros nacen seronegativos, con independencia del perfil serológico y el patrón de excreción maternos. Análisis histológicos son necesarios para determinar las consecuencias de la presencia de la bacteria en la placenta, así como determinar el momento concreto de infección placentaria y/o fetal.
En el presente trabajo la totalidad de las vacas incluidas en el estudio (n=78) tuvieron un parto a término, independientemente de la presencia o no de la bacteria en los cotiledones (17%). Este hecho refuerza la idea de que *C. burnetii* raramente produce abortos en la especie bovina a pesar de que las células trofoblásticas sean una localización habitual de la bacteria (Ben-Amara *et al*., 2010; Hansen *et al*., 2011). Sin embargo la cepa y el estado inmunológico son factores que se deberían tener en cuenta debido a que podrían condicionar la aparición de la sintomatología clínica.

Según la Odds ratio, la probabilidad de presentar cotiledones PCR positivos a *C. burnetii* al parto es 10,3 veces mayor en las vacas excretoras al parto por otras vías (heces, fluido vaginal y calostro) que para las no excretoras en el mismo periodo (P=0.005). Es probable que en el momento del parto la bacteria se reactive y sea excretada al medio con más eficacia, seguramente debido a la inmunosupresión materna. La detección de estos animales excretores de forma rutinaria, eficiente y a un coste razonable, así como conocer las repercusiones sanitarias y económicas asociadas a la excreción debería ser objeto de futuras investigaciones.

Las conclusiones son que las vacas excretoras de la bacteria a través de heces, leche o fluidos vaginales al parto tienen más posibilidades de expulsar la bacteria a través de la placenta que las vacas no excretoras al parto. No se ha detectado en las 2 granjas del estudio ninguna relación entre la excreción de *C. burnetii* durante el preparto o al parto con la retención de placenta o terneros nacidos muertos.
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RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN *COXIELLA BURNETII* SHEDDING IN FAECES, MILK AND VAGINAL FLUID AT PARTURITION AND THE PRESENCE OF *BACTERIUM* IN PLACENTAL COTYLEDONS

**ABSTRACT**

The aim of this study was to analyze the relationship between *Coxiella burnetii* in the cotyledons at parturition and placenta retention, stillborns, *C. burnetii* seropositivity and shedding by other routes during days 171-177 of pregnancy and at parturition in dairy cows. Two herds in northeastern Spain provided 78 cows that were sampled at 171-177 days of pregnancy and at parturition. Samples of blood, faeces, milk, vaginal fluid, and cotyledons were collected for the specific antibodies detection in blood by indirect ELISA and *C. burnetii* DNA detection by quantitative PCR in the remaining samples. The seroprevalence during pregnancy and % of PCR positive samples was 52.6% and 8.6%, respectively. All cows delivered at term. No significant links were found in the study by logistic regression between retained placenta, stillborn calves, *C. burnetii* seropositivity and shedding on days 171-177 of gestation with the presence of *C. burnetii* in cotyledons. However, according to the odds ratio, the probability to present positive PCR cotyledons at parturition is 10.3 times higher in shedding cows at parturition by other routes than non-shedders in the same period (\( P = 0.005 \)). In conclusion, *C. burnetii* shedding cows at parturition are more likely to have the bacterium in the cotyledons than non-shedders.
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ABSTRACT

The possible relationship between *Coxiella*-seropositivity and the reproductive performance of cows during the previous year to the serological screening was examined in three high producing dairy herds, with particular emphasis on placenta retention. The 3 herds had positive polymerase chain reaction test for *C. burnetii* in the bulk tank milk with an excretion higher than $10^4$ *Coxiella*/ml. Antibodies against *C. burnetii* were detected in 50.2% of 781 parous cows analyzed, ranging from 46 to 53% for the different herds. From 440 pregnancies recorded, 16.8% (74/440) suffered pregnancy loss: 15% during the early fetal period and 2.1% after Day 90 of gestation. Logistic regression analysis indicated no significant effects of herd, lactation number and *Neospora caninum* seropositivity on retained placenta. Based on the odds ratio, the likelihood of a retained placenta increased by factors of 1.75 or 8.1 in cows showing *C. burnetii* seropositivity or twin pregnancies, respectively. No significant interactions were found. Relationships between *C. burnetii* infection and reproductive disorders such as abortion, stillbirth, weak offspring, postpartum metritis and infertility have been suggested, but to our knowledge, *C. burnetii* seropositivity has not been described before as a predisposing factor for placenta retention.
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DYNAMICS OF *COXIELLA BURNETII* ANTIBODIES IN HIGH PRODUCING DAIRY COWS IN NORTHEASTERN SPAIN

Tutusaus J, Garcia-Ispierto I, Nogareda C, López-Gatius F
Department of Animal Production, ETSEA. University of Lleida, Spain

Q fever is a zoonosis caused by *Coxiella burnetii*, an obligate intracellular gram negative bacterium endemic worldwide. There are many mammal reservoirs of the bacterium, but the most commonly identified sources of human infection are domestic ruminants. Infection in these species is mainly asymptomatic but if clinical signs are present, reproductive disorders are the most frequently reported. In cattle, late abortion and infertility are the main clinical manifestations. The aim of this study was to assess *C. burnetii* antibodies in 478 high-producing dairy cows. Serological analyses were performed using a commercial indirect ELISA kit LSIVET. *C. burnetii* prevalence in the herd was 51% in 2009 and 49.4% in 2010. The results showed that in 65%, 10.5% and 24.5% of animals the titer remained stable in the same group, decreased and increased during the study period, respectively. Twenty four animals (5%) seroconverted, while 31 (6.5%) became seronegative. Bulk tank milk analyses by RT-PCR in the two years of study confirmed a high bacterial excretion (>10000 bacteria/ml). A group of ten cows were selected from the herd: Five seropositive and five seronegative animals. These cows were sampled individually and provided a total of eight milk and ten vaginal fluid samples. All of the fluid and 50% of milk samples were PCR negative. No excretion of *C. burnetii* into vaginal fluid was found. The results suggest a high stability of antibodies and the bacterium shedding by milk, and a broad distribution of the infection.
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LA EDAD ES UN FACTOR DE RIESGO PARA LA SEROPOSITIVIDAD FRENTE A *COXIELLA BURNETII* EN VACAS LECHERAS DE ALTA PRODUCCIÓN

Tutusaus Batlle J, López-Gatius F, García-Ispierto I  
Departamento de Producción Animal, ETSEA, Universidad de Lleida, Lleida.
Resumen

El objetivo del presente trabajo fue estudiar factores que afectan a la serología frente a C. burnetii en vacas lecheras de alta producción, incluyendo la posible relación con los patrones de excreción de C. burnetii durante el parto. Se utilizaron 45 vacas lecheras de alta producción de raza Frisona procedentes de dos explotaciones comerciales situadas en el noreste de España. Los animales se muestrearon el día 171-177 de gestación, el del parto y el 29-35 postparto. Se determinaron los niveles plasmáticos de anticuerpos frente a C. burnetii y la excreción bacteriana el día del parto en heces, calostro, fluido vaginal y cotiledones placentarios, mediante ELISA indirecto y PCR cuantitativa, respectivamente. Se realizó una regresión logística binaria que reveló que las vacas multíparas tenían 23 veces más riesgo de ser seropositivas frente a C.burnetii que las primíparas. La conclusión de este estudio fue que la edad es un factor de riesgo para la seropositividad frente a C. burnetii y que no existe relación entre el perfil serológico y el patrón de excreción al parto.

Introducción

La fiebre Q es una zoonosis endémica a nivel mundial producida por un bacilo Gram – intracelular obligado, llamado Coxiella burnetii (1). Esta bacteria posee un amplio rango de hospedadores, sin embargo, los rumiantes domésticos constituyen los principales reservorios y la fuente de infección más importante para los humanos (1). La sintomatología clínica ha sido extensamente estudiada en humanos y en pequeños rumiantes, pero existe controversia en la vaca. Frecuentemente se asocia con problemas subclínicos y subfertilidad, placentitis o problemas endocrinos (2, 3). Además, la bacteria se excreta al medio ambiente a través de uno o varios canales, como son el fluido vaginal, los productos del parto y los abortos, la placenta, las heces y la leche y pueden existir animales seropositivos no excretores, y excretores seronegativos. Por todo esto la detección de animales infectados es complicada a nivel de granja (4). El objetivo del presente trabajo fue estudiar factores que afectan a la serología frente a C. burnetii en vacas lecheras de alta producción, incluyendo la posible relación con los patrones de excreción de C. burnetii durante el parto.

Material y métodos

El estudio se realizó en dos explotaciones comerciales de vacuno lechero Frisón de alta producción en el noreste de España con 625 y 125 vacas en lactación, respectivamente, de octubre del 2010 a octubre de 2011. La media de producción de las granjas fue de 11343 y 8846 Kg por vaca y año, respectivamente. Un análisis previo al estudio mediante PCR cuantitativa de leche de tanque reveló la existencia de una infección natural en los dos rebaños por Coxiella burnetii. En las dos explotaciones se aplicaban programas vacunales frente a IBR y BVD. Únicamente se incluyeron en el estudio vacas clínicamente sanas. Los datos se obtuvieron de 45 vacas lecheras de alta producción.
Diseño experimental

Las vacas se muestrearon tres veces a lo largo del periodo de estudio: día 171-177 de gestación, día del parto y día 29-35 postparto. En los tres muestreos se extrajo sangre para la determinación de niveles plasmáticos de anticuerpos específicos frente a *Coxiella burnetii*. Adicionalmente, el día del parto se tomaron muestras de heces, fluido vaginal, calostro y cotiledones placentarios para detectar la posible excreción bacteriana por esas vías mediante PCR cuantitativa. Para la detección de anticuerpos anti *C. burnetii* se utilizó un kit de ELISA indirecto (LSIVET RUMINANT Milk/Serum Q FEVER de Laboratoire Service International, Lissieu, Francia).

Datos registrados y análisis

Los siguientes datos fueron registrados para cada vaca: explotación, fecha de parto, producción de leche en el día 50 de lactación, nº de partos (primíparas versus multíparas), seropositividad frente a *Coxiella burnetii* (seronegativa: título < 40, seropositiva: título ≥ 40) en los días 171-177, día del parto y día 29-35 postparto y excreción de *C. burnetii* al parto (una o más muestras positiva a la PCR). Se realizó una regresión logística binaria mediante el programa estadístico SPSS, con la variable dependiente seropositividad frente a *C. burnetii* como variable dependiente.

Resultados y discusión

Los perfiles serológicos y los patrones de excreción de las 45 vacas estudiadas se detallan en la tabla 1. No se observó seroconversión en ninguno de los animales durante el periodo de estudio. Se analizaron un total de 164 muestras mediante la técnica PCR, el 13,41% resultaron positivas.

La tabla 2 muestra las variables incluidas en el modelo final. Según la Odds ratio, la probabilidad de una vaca a ser seropositiva a *C. burnetii* era 23 veces mayor en las multíparas que en las primíparas. Estos animales han estado mayor tiempo expuestos a la bacteria, pero la pregunta sigue siendo porqué si la bacteria es tan ubica y está presente activamente en las granjas estudiadas, no hay más animales seropositivos. Quizás, la clave está en estudiar si la infección es aguda o crónica en estos animales.

En el presente trabajo no se puede establecer ninguna relación entre el perfil serológico y el patrón de excreción al parto. Sin embargo, está descrito que las vacas excretoras tienen más probabilidades de ser seropositivas que seronegativas (4), debido a que la excreción implica la existencia de una infección activa que estimula el sistema inmune, produciéndose seroconversión. No obstante, esta estimulación no se observa en todos los casos, existiendo en menor proporción, animales excretores seronegativos. Se necesitan más estudios para comprender por qué el sistema inmune de algunos animales
infectados no se estimula o, por el contrario, si existen animales resistentes a la infección.

El 17% de los cotiledones analizados fueron positivos a la PCR, demostrando que *C. burnetii* coloniza la placenta. A pesar de ello y de acuerdo con trabajos anteriores (5), no se detectaron problemas reproductivos clínicos en estos animales. Sin embargo se necesita investigar en este sentido con mayor profundidad y con más datos para confirmar o descartar esta hipótesis.

Conclusiones

La edad es un factor de riesgo para la seropositividad frente a *C. burnetii* y no existe relación entre el perfil serológico y el patrón de excreción al parto.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfil serológico</th>
<th>Excreción al parto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seropositivas n= 21</td>
<td>n=8 38.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seronegativas n= 24</td>
<td>n=5 21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tabla 1. Perfiles serológicos y patrones de excreción de las 45 vacas estudiadas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Clase</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>% seropositividad frente a <em>C. burnetii</em></th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>95% IC</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Número de Partos</td>
<td>Primíparas</td>
<td>1/13</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Referencia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partos</td>
<td>Multiparas</td>
<td>21/32</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>2.4-84.5</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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El objetivo del presente trabajo fue estudiar la posible relación entre la serología y la excreción de C. burnetii en el periodo preparto y postparto, y los principales parámetros reproductivos en vacas lecheras de alta producción. Para ello se utilizaron 78 vacas de raza Frisona, escogidas al azar, procedentes de dos explotaciones comerciales situadas en el noreste de España, infectadas por Fiebre Q. Los animales se muestrearon los días 171-177 de gestación y 91-97 postparto. Se determinaron los niveles plasmáticos de anticuerpos frente a C. burnetii y la excreción bacteriana en heces, leche y fluido vaginal, mediante ELISA indirecto y PCR cuantitativa, respectivamente. Se realizaron siete regresiones logísticas binarias y tres análisis de supervivencia Kaplan-Meier mediante los cuales no se observó ninguna relación con la retención de placenta, la fertilidad en la primera IA, a día 90 y 150 postparto, el síndrome de la vaca repetitiva, el retorno a la ciclicidad en los primeros 35 días postparto y la pérdida de gestación en los primeros 90 días post-IA. Los resultados del presente estudio sugieren que la cepa estudiada de C. burnetii no afecta al proceso reproductivo de vacas lecheras de alta producción en nuestra zona de estudio.
Introducción

La fiebre Q es una zoonosis endémica a nivel mundial producida por un bacilo Gram negativo intracelular obligado, *Coxiella burnetii* (1). A pesar de que existe un amplio rango de hospedadores, los rumiantes domésticos constituyen los principales reservorios y la fuente de infección más importante para los humanos (1). La sintomatología clínica ha sido extensamente estudiada en humanos y en pequeños rumiantes, pero existe controversia en la vaca. Frecuentemente se asocia con problemas subclínicos, de los cuales destacan la subfertilidad, placentitis o problemas endocrinos (2, 3) también están descritos metritis, mastitis y abortos (11). Además, la bacteria se excreta al medio ambiente a través de uno o varios canales, como son el fluido vaginal, los productos del parto y los abortos, la placenta, las heces y la leche y pueden existir animales seropositivos no excretores, y excretores seronegativos. Por todo esto la detección de animales infectados es complicada a nivel de granja (4). El objetivo del presente trabajo fue estudiar la posible relación entre la serología y la excreción de *C. burnetii* en el periodo preparto y postparto sobre los principales parámetros reproductivos en vacas lecheras de alta producción, como la retención de placenta, la fertilidad, el síndrome de la vaca repetidora, el retorno a la cíclicidad en los primeros 35 días postparto y la pérdida de gestación en los primeros 90 días.

Material y métodos

El estudio se realizó en dos explotaciones comerciales de vacuno lechero Frisón de alta producción en el noreste de España con 625 y 125 vacas en lactación de octubre de 2010 a octubre de 2011. Un análisis previo al estudio mediante PCR cuantitativa de leche de tanque reveló la existencia de una infección natural en los dos rebaños por *Coxiella burnetii*. Únicamente se incluyeron en el estudio vacas clínicamente sanas. Los datos se obtuvieron de 78 vacas lecheras de alta producción.

Diseño experimental

Las vacas se muestrearon dos veces a lo largo del periodo de estudio: días 171-177 de gestación y días 91-97 postparto. En los dos muestreos se extrajo sangre para la determinación de los niveles plasmáticos de anticuerpos específicos frente a *Coxiella burnetii* y se tomaron muestras de heces, fluido vaginal y leche para detectar la posible excreción bacteriana por esas vías mediante PCR cuantitativa. Para la detección de anticuerpos anti *C. burnetii* se utilizó un kit de ELISA indirecto (LSIVET RUMINANT, Laboratoire Service International, Francia). Para el análisis del fluido vaginal, heces y leche se utilizó el kit QIAmp DNA minikit® (Qiagen S.A., Francia) para la extracción del ADN de las muestras y el kit LSI Taqvet *Coxiella burnetii*® (Laboratoire Service International, Líssieu, Francia) para la realización de la PCR cuantitativa.
Datos registrados y análisis

Se realizaron siete regresiones logísticas binarias y tres análisis de supervivencia Kaplan-Meier mediante el programa estadístico SPSS (versión 18). Para las regresiones se utilizaron la retención de placenta, presencia de endometritis (definida por la presencia de fluido intrauterino ecogénico, un diámetro cervical de ≥ 4 cm o un grosor endometrial de ≥ 0,75 cm en los días 15-21 postparto (5)), la fertilidad a la primera IA, la fertilidad en los días 90 y 150 postparto, el síndrome de la vaca repetidora, las pérdidas de gestación, y la serología en los días 171-177 de gestación como variables dependientes. En los análisis de supervivencia Kaplan-Meier se analizaron las fertilidades a día 90 y 150 postparto y la ciclicidad durante las tres primeras semanas postparto (presencia de un cuerpo luteo determinada por ecografía los días 15-21, 22-28 y 29-35 postparto) estratificado para vacas seropositivas (n=30) y seronegativas (n=48) y para excretoras y no excretoras a 171-177 días de gestación y 91-97 días postparto.

Resultados y discusión

De las vacas estudiadas, 19 eran primíparas y 59 multíparas. Se analizaron mediante PCR un total de 468 muestras, de las cuales el 4,5% resultaron positivas a la PCR (n=21). Cuatro primíparas (5,13%) y dos multíparas (2,6%) seropositivizaron, mientras que dos primíparas (2,6%) y una multípara (1,3%) seronegativizaron, indicando que la mayoría de los animales mantienen constantes sus niveles de anticuerpos, tal y como observaron otros autores en trabajos anteriores (6,7). La tabla 1 indica el número de muestras con resultado positivo a la PCR en función de su naturaleza y el momento del muestreo. La ruta fecal y la láctea son las vías más utilizadas por la bacteria y son las que muestran una excreción más prolongada en el tiempo. Estos datos concuerdan parcialmente con trabajos anteriores (4).

Mediante las regresiones logísticas binarias y los análisis de supervivencia Kaplan-Meier no se encontró ninguna relación significativa entre el perfil serológico y/o los patrones de excreción sobre los parámetros reproductivos estudiados. En un trabajo realizado por Garcia-Ispierto y col. (8), se observó que los animales seropositivos y/o excretores tenían menos probabilidades de sufrir endometritis, recuperaban la ciclicidad postparto antes y presentaban mejores tasas de fertilidad comparado con el resto de animales. Esto indica que los animales no infectados (vacas seronegativas y no excretoras) eran susceptibles a la bacteria. Por todo ello, cabe pensar en dos posibles hipótesis: que la cepa estudiada de *C. burnetti* en las dos granjas no afecte a la reproducción de las vacas de nuestra zona de estudio, o que no hayamos sido capaces de relacionar la serología y la excreción con los signos de la infección a nivel clínico posiblemente por falta de potencia estadística (número limitado de vacas en el estudio).

Por lo que respecta a la serología frente a *C. burnetti*, según la Odds ratio, la probabilidad de una vaca a ser seropositiva fue 9,8 y 4,5 veces mayor en las multíparas
y en las excretoras en los días 171-177 de gestación que en el resto de animales, respectivamente (P= 0.04). Estos resultados están en concordancia con otros estudios anteriores (4, 9, 10).

Conclusiones

No se ha podido determinar relación entre el perfil serológico y los patrones de excreción de la cepa estudiada de C. burnetii con los principales índices y parámetros reproductivos en vacas lecheras de alta producción en nuestra zona de estudio.

Tablas

Tabla 1. Relación de muestras PCR positivas en función del momento de muestreo y la naturaleza de las mismas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tipo de muestra</th>
<th>171-177 días preparto</th>
<th>91-97 días postparto</th>
<th>En los dos periodos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluido vaginal</td>
<td>2 2,6%</td>
<td>1 1,3%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heces</td>
<td>4 5,1%</td>
<td>2 2,7%</td>
<td>1 1,3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leche</td>
<td>8 10,26%</td>
<td>1 1,3%</td>
<td>2 2,7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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